Reviewer statement

The reviewer guide consists of two parts.

Part 1: Evaluation on a five-point scale or drop-down menu (compulsory items) according to the given criteria.

Part 2: Providing a textual assessment.

Theoretical background

- ○ Excellent
- ○ Good
- ○ Satisfactory
- ○ Poor
- ○ Very poor

Use of recent literature

[▾]

Structure of the article

- ○ Excellent
- ○ Good
- ○ Satisfactory
- ○ Poor
- ○ Very poor

Clarifying the main concepts

- ○ Excellent
- ○ Good
- ○ Satisfactory
- ○ Poor
- ○ Very poor

Research plan (if applicable)

- ○ Excellent
- ○ Good
- ○ Satisfactory
- ○ Poor
- ○ Very poor

Empirical part, quality of data processing (if any)

[▼]

Interpreting the results

[▼]

Originality and/or contribution to the results of previous research

[▼]

Overall impression of the article

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Satisfactory

○ Poor

○ Very poor

Formal requirements:

○ Meets

○ Needs improvement

It needs improvement as follows:

If selected above, this field is mandatory!

[text area]

Text summary of the evaluation, suggestions for changes:

[text area]