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#### Abstract

This study analyzes the profitability of fruit juice processing using data from Kudors Fruit Juice Limited at Kasoa in Ghana. The cost involved in fruit juice processing (which includes the capital cost and the operating cost) was obtained from the Company. This study compares the profitability of blend (i.e. fruit juice made up of pineapple and mango blend) with that of pineapple juice alone. The viability of the project was determined using the discounted measures of project worth: Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR), Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR). The empirical results reveal that pineapple juice processing had a BCR of 1.03 which means that going into the pineapple juice processing is profitable. The value of the NPV (GHS11,728.00) and IRR (23\%) further confirms that pineapple juice processing is profitable because the NPV is positive and the IRR is greater than the discounted factor ( $21 \%$ ). The results also showed that it is more profitable to invest in the blend (pineapple and mango blend) than the pineapple juice alone as it yields a BCR of 1.36 which was greater than the BCR of 1.03 for the pineapple juice only. Furthermore, the value of the NPV (GHS176,831.00) which is greater than the pineapple juice only, suggests that the blend is more profitable even though the IRR for both are the same. Moreover, it is also more likely to recover capital investment earlier in the processing of the blend than when one goes into pineapple juice processing only, because the net cash flow in year 2 (GHS 58,146.00) for the blend is more than triple that of the pineapple juice only (GHS17,826.00).These results have policy implications for the development of Agribusinesses in Ghana.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Codex Alimentarius defines juice as "unfermented but fermentable juice intended for direct consumption, obtained by the mechanical process from sound, ripe fruits, preserved exclusively by physical means (FAO 1992). Pineapple and passion fruits are the most popular juices to date but others may increase in popularity. The global market for juice products was estimated to be about 50 billion liters in the 1990s (FAO 1999). Banana juice is made using traditional methods for beer/spirit production but it is not widely sold as juice, most at times it is blended with other fruits juices. In general, juice can also be made from a mixture of fruits although this is not widely done at present due to high costs involved.

Fruits can be consumed fresh or processed into various forms. Pineapple and mango juice are the most popular product due to its fruity aroma, fragrance and flavor and is purportedly the most widely consumed fresh fruit in the world with production exceeding 17 million metric tons a year (FAOSTAT
2007).Mangoes are produced in over 90 countries worldwide, Asia accounts for approximately $77 \%$ of global mango production and the Americas and Africa approximately $13 \%$ and 9\% respectively (FAOSTAT 2007).

In 2005 world production of mango was estimated at 28.51 million metric tons. Between 1996 and 2005, production grew at annual rate of $2.6 \%$ (FAOSTAT 2007). Over the last decade prices of fruits are declining about $50 \%$ because the fruits are available in most countries but prices could increase with proper promotional effort. There is evidence that processed fruit market is increasing (Sauco 2004).

Juice manufactures face competition from producers who buy imported flavor concentrates and dilute them to make fruit drinks that are much cheaper. Consequently, the production and marketing of fruit juice should focus on the fact that they are made from fresh fruits with no additives. Further, the cost of equipment to form and seal cartons is too high for small scale producers and they are only sold under license. Cheaper alternatives including plastic pots with seeded foil lids are available as alternatives to bottles. Some processors also market juice in polythene sachets. Preservation is by pasteuriza-
tion and natural activity of the juice. Some types of juice (e.g. melon juice) have low levels of acid and this can be increased by adding citric acid to give a pH below $3.5-4.0$. Although some producers add a preservative such as sodium benzoate to ensure a long shelf life, this is not necessary if the juice is properly processed. Juice can be extracted from fruits in a number of ways, depending on the hardness of the raw material. Harder fruits such as pineapple are peeled and pulped using a liquidizer and pressed to extract juice. Fresh and processed pineapple is found all over the world. For every five pineapples produced two are sold on the international market and processed pineapple product such as juice, largely dominates this markets, accounting for $80 \%$ of the total trade (Morgan et. al. 2005). Producers usually prefer to sell their produce to exporters because of the high price offered and traders who sell on the local markets offer the lowest (African Study Monograph 2004). Citrus fruits and juices are excellent sources of vitamin C containing more than the minimum daily requirement of 60 mg of vitamin C in 240 ml of juice (USDA 2000).

Fruit juice provides a notable amount of iron which produces healthy red blood cells to transport oxygen throughout the body. Citrus fruits and juices are also a good source of folic acid, vitamin B, thiamine and potassium (Nagy et al. 1993; Brown 2000). A daily glass of fruit juice supplies $20 \%$ of daily iron needs of a person (www.livestrong.com). Fruit juice is very important in improving the memory and concentration, reducing mental weakness and in curing the problem of heavy bleeding during menstruation (www.drgranny.com).

Tema, Accra and Nsawam are the locations of major fruits processing companies in Ghana. Nkulenu industries a pioneer fruit processing company, for example dominated the local market during the 1970's through to the 1980's with its' mixed products. Astek Fruit Processing also extended the market and began to control a significant market share with its fresh mango and pineapple juice brands.

The problem of price fluctuations of Ghana's traditional export such as minerals, cocoa and timber has called for diversification in agricultural productionto expand her export product base. Fruits are ubiquitous in most temperate and tropical zones. There may be ample raw materials available for short seasons, which mostly go waste due to lack of processing facilities (Brown 2000).

In Ghana heavy post-harvest losses are annually recorded in fruit and vegetable production resulting in lower prices of agricultural products in the peak season and higher prices in the lean season. It has becomes necessary that post-harvest losses of fruits be addressed so as to increase their shelf lives as the processing industry lacks the capacity to increase production at a particular time to meet excessive demand by consumers.

Ghana is yet to tap into the rich potentials of the fruit processing which has great benefit for the consumer, producer and country as a whole. The processing industry's declining state in the country is due to the excessive import of processed fruits coupled with the inability of farmers and other businessmen to acquire processing plants for fruits despite its high demand locally and on the international market. Therefore, there is the need for Ghana to increase her processing base to enable
the country tap into some of these opportunities both internationally and locally. Consumption of fruit juice in Ghana has become popular and increasing daily. For instance, the domestic market for fruit juice has become strong because Ghanaian consumers increasingly appreciate the natural taste and health benefits of Ghana's own agricultural products. Further, it is believed that fruit juice are the most consumed beverage next to water, however, approximately $70 \%$ of these products are imported, and estimates reveal that 10.4 million liters of fruits juice is consumed yearly (Fruit Processors and Marketers Association of Ghana (FPMAG 2011).

The objectives of the study are three fold. First, to estimate the cost and benefits involved in fruit juice processing at Kudors Fruit Juice Limited. Second, to determine the profitability of fruit juice processing at Kudors Fruit Juice Limited, while comparing the profitability of pineapple and mango blend with pineapple fruit juice processing. Third, to assess and rank the constraints affecting fruit juice processing of Ku dors Fruit Juice Limited.

The justification of this study is to serve as a source of information for fresh fruit producers (farmers), policy makers and entrepreneurs who would like to go into fruit juice processing. This study will also add to the body of knowledge about the profitability of fruit processing.

### 1.2 Literature review

Indigenous fruits are those which are native to Africa, where they have originated and evolved over centuries (Center for Tropical Agriculture (CTA) 2007).These are different from exotic fruits, such as citrus and even mango, which have been imported from other continents, although they may now be quite commonly grown in many areas. Across sub-Saharan Africa, a wide variety of indigenous fruit trees are valuable to the diets and incomes of local communities, particularly during times of potential household food insecurity, for example, during the rainy season when crops are not yet ready for harvest and stored supplies have run low. Also, many indigenous fruit trees are able to withstand hot, dry conditions as the fruits provide an essential food source. The baobab for example is found throughout Africa at low altitudes and during drought periods, the fruits provide a valued source of vitamins and minerals, but often underutilized (Center for Tropical Agriculture (CTA) 2007).

Most indigenous fruit trees generally grow wild. The fruits are harvested and eaten at home, sold at the market or processed into jams and juices to add additional value. However, many fruit trees are used for more than just their fruits. Trees grown on the homestead provide important shade for crops. Leaves may be used for fodder or as compost. Leaves, fruits and other tree parts may also be used for medicinal purposes. The bark is often used for fibre and the timber for furniture, construction of fences etc (Center for Tropical Agriculture (CTA) 2007).

All the processes for fruit juice products require that the juice or pulp is first extracted from the fruit. The juice is
extracted either by pressing the fruit or by mashing it and then pressing the juice out. Juice extracted is used in the preparation of jams and jellies, fruit juices, fruits in syrup and pickles (Appiagyei 2010). Processing is the transformation of raw produce into a product which is different physically, chemically and nutritionally.

Pande (2009) stated that processing of fruit and vegetables is getting higher attention for enabling farmers to get higher price for the produce. Potentially each large number of fruits grown in Ghana could be used to make large range of products, including dried fruit (pineapple and banana for export), fruit wines (especially pineapple), fried snacks (banana or potato chips), juices (pineapple), squashes and cordials. The high demand for these products has led to strong competition as more and more small-scale processors start to produce these products. Companies for example, Blue Skies and individuals also produce cuts fruits for sale, fruits such as pawpaw, pineapple and at times dried mango are commonly found on the Ghanaian market.

Internationally, countries like the U.S, India are into large scale processing of fruits into various forms. Altman and Eiteman (2009) stated that about 1.8 million tonnes of watermelon were produced in the U.S. in 2007 and 362,874 tonneswere abandoned as culled crop which represent about $20 \%$ of production. In recent studies in the U.S, it was revealed that the culled watermelon has significant potential for use as a sugar source to produce ethanol. Thus, the 362,874 tonnes of culled watermelon from 2007 could be used to produce about 14 million litres of ethanol.

Global efforts to establish and improve consumer health protection have led to increased governmental and regulatory oversight in the field of food safety (UNIDO 2004). While most people presume the foods they eat are safe, several recent food safety events have eroded this confidence and led to demands from the public for additional protective measures to be enacted to establish the rights of consumers to safe food. Dauthy (1995) stated that the scope of this protection has expanded beyond the practices of the food manufacturers and now extends all the way back to the farm gate. Thus, it is essential that safety be embodied in food products from production through consumption, from the farm to the table (food chain approach). All stakeholders in the food chain, including the supply side (producers, transporters, processors, and merchants), the government inspection and regulatory authorities and consumers will now have responsibilities and obligations to ensure the safety of food products and protect consumer health (UNIDO 2004).

For enforcement purposes, the Codex Commission has developed several guidelines and food standards. There are today approximately 250 standards and specific requirements for individual foods, groups of foods, and other provisions, e.g., hygiene, contaminants, labeling and food additives. Enforcement of food control has evolved from the traditional focus on inspection of final products and removal of unsafe food from the market to the current holistic and preventive approach, which relies more on system control. This systematic approach to the identification, assessment, and control of
hazards is known as the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) system. The introduction of a HACCP-based food safety system may be difficult for small-scale fruit processing enterprises and will be best achieved by coordination between the food industry, educational and training organizations, and governing authorities (Leid and Salvosa 2008).

In Ghana, Standards are set by Ghana Standard Board for fruit juice enterprises. These standards are set in terms of quality, flavor, package and appearance. According to a draft of Ghana Standard Board Specification of juices (DGS 571 WDI), fruit juice is an unfermented but fermentable non-alcoholic drink intended for direct consumption. It is obtained by mechanical process from sound ripe fruit and the juice is either preserved exclusively by physical means or by preservatives.

Juice quality may be referred to as the characteristics which are evaluated by organoleptic and other physical appearance such as colour, flavour, texture, size and appearance. Also in packaging, the water capacity of the package should be the volume of distilled water at 20 degrees celcius and the seal package should not be able to hold this when completely filled. Moreover, the appearance of the fruit juice should be of uniform colour and hence there should be no ring formation present at the neck of the container.

Machiraju (2001) stated that benefit-cost analysis is concerned with the examination of a project from the view point of maximization of net benefit. Profitability can be measured on yearly basis or over or the lifespan of an investment whiles the lifespan profitability measure is employed to enable in resource allocation decision (Ross et al. 2001). Return on asserts, profit margin and return on equity are also well known profitability measures (Ross et al. 2001).

Once cost and benefits has been identified, if they are to be compared, they must be valued. Underlying all financial analysis is the assumption that prices reflect value, or can be adjusted to do so (Gittinger 1996).

Cost can be categorized into fixed cost and variable cost. In financial analysis, cost is classified into initial cost of investment and operational cost (Gittinger 1996). Capital cost is the cost of items needed for the establishment of a project. In general, capital costs usually cover such items as land, buildings, site preparation and other civil costs, plant and equipment installation and testing, vehicles and working capital. Contingency allowance is provided, which is simply added to the cost of the item to which it relates. Operational costs are those incurred in operating and maintaining the project. They are usually the raw materials, labor, water and fuel, transport and maintenance (Gittinger 1996).

Estimating benefits and cost in a timely manner is very difficult. Benefits are often defined as follows: Tangible benefits which may be reasonably quantified and measured in monetary terms; and Intangible benefits that may be quantified otherwise or identified and described subjectively. The minimum costs that must be determined are those that specifically are used for comparison to the benefits. These include the following: The current operating costs or the cost of operating in today's circumstances; and Future period costs that are expected and can be planned for; Intangible cost may be difficult to
quantify. These costs are often omitted if quantification would contribute little to the decision making process.

Dasguptaet al. (1974) stated that investment criteria in the systematic evaluation of a project are numerous, some of which include the benefit-cost ratio approach, payback period approach, net present value approach, internal rate of return approach, and domestic resource cost approach, minimum capital-output ratio approach and so on.

The rest of the study is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the methodology; section 3 presents the empirical results, and section 4 presents the conclusions and recommendations.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Methods of Analysis

This study employed the Net Present Value (the discounting approach) in its decision as to whether the project is viable. Net Present Value (NPV) basically refers to present value of future cash flows discounted at the opportunity cost of capital minus(net of) the initial investment.

Net Present Value was used because the idea of NPV is to try to express all future values in terms of the present. Thus, to make the values occurring at different times comparable at least with respect to time. Some of its merits are; easy to calculate, easy to understand and interpret, it saves time among others. However, despite its advantages, there are some flaws as far as NPV is concerned, some of which include the choice of interest rate. The choice of interest rate is a problem that cuts across all the other investment criteria. However, its advantages are more overwhelming as compared to the internal rate of return which is somewhat cumbersome to follow. The decision rule is that, if the NPV is greater than zero we accept the project but if NPV is less than zero then we reject.

Also the benefit cost (BCR) ratio was used in this study. The decision rule is that we accept the project if the $\mathrm{BCR} \geq 1$ and when the cost and benefit streams are discounted at the opportunity cost of capital. Thus if BCR $>1$ it implies that Fruit juice processing is profitable, if $\mathrm{BCR}<1$ it implies not profitable and if $\mathrm{BCR}=1$, the investment break even (Gittinger, 1996).

Lastly the internal rate of return (IRR) was also employed in the study. The internal rate of return is the discount rate that makes the NPV of the project or investment equals zero (Boardman, 2006). The decision rule is to accept independent projects with IRR $\geq$ the opportunity cost of capital or the discount rate (Gittinger, 1996).

### 2.2 Theoretical framework

### 2.2.1 Budgetary approach

Simple budgetary approach was used to calculate the total cost, total revenue and net return.
$\mathrm{TC}=\mathrm{TFC}+\mathrm{TVC}$

Where TC denotes total Cost; TFC denotes total fixed Cost; TVC denotes total Variable Cost; TR denotes total revenue; TR denotes selling price per liter of fruit juice $\times$ total output.

Net Profit $=$ TR $-T C$

### 2.2.2 Computation of Actual Total Revenue

Total revenue was calculated by multiplying the quantity of fruit juice produced by the price of juice.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T R=Q M \times P M \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where TR denotes total Revenue; QM denotes quantity of crates sold; PM denotes per unit price of crate.

### 2.2.3 Computation of Total Cost

The computation of the total cost included all types of variable and fixed cost items involved in the fruit juice processing.

The total cost is estimated as:
$T C=\sum P_{x i} X_{i}+T F C$
Where TC denotes total Cost; $X_{i}$ denotes quantity of variable input; $P_{x i}$ denotes per unit price of variable input; TFC denotes total fixed Cost.

The net revenue or profit (NR) in monetary terms is the difference between estimated cost and total revenue for the period.
$N R=T R-T C$
Where $T C$ denotes total cost; and $T R$ denotes total revenue.

### 2.2.4 Depreciation

Depreciation is the reduction in the value of an asset over a period of time. The value of an asset at the end of its expected useful life is known as its salvage value. The straight line method of depreciation was employed because of its simplicity and the ability to reflect the historical cost of assets under consideration. The straight line method of depreciation is specified as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\frac{\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{SV}}{\mathrm{~N}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $D$ denotes depreciation on capital item; $O C$ denotes original Cost of item; $S V$ denotes savage value; and $N$ denotes expected useful life of capital.

### 2.2.5 Estimating the Project worth

The following Discounted measures of project worth were used to estimate the worth.

### 2.2.5.1 Benefit Cost Ratio

Benefit Cost Ratio $(\boldsymbol{B C R})=\sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{B_{t} /(l+r)^{t}}{C_{t} /(l+r)^{t}}$
Where $B_{t}$ denotes benefits in year t ; $C_{t}$ denotes cost in year $\mathrm{t} ; r$ denotes cost of capital; t denotes number of years.

The decision rule is that we accept the project if $B C R \geq 1$ when the cost and benefit streams are discounted at the opportunity cost of capital. Thus, if BCR $>1$ it implies that Fruit
juice processing is profitable, if $\mathrm{BCR}<1$ it implies not profitable and if $\mathrm{BCR}=1$, the investment break even (Gittinger, 1996).

### 2.2.5.2 Net Present Value

Net present value (NPV) is the present worth of the incremental net benefit or incremental cash flow stream (Gittinger, 1996).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { NPV). }=\sum_{t=1}^{t=n} \frac{B_{t}-C_{t}}{(1+r)^{t}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $B_{t}$ denotes benefits in year $t ; C_{t}$ denotes cost in year $t$; n denotes investment lifespan; $t$ denotes time measured in years, $r$ denotes cost of capital.

The decision rule is to accept the project if $N P V$ is positive. This means that the project is viable and in cases where two or more investment show positive $N P V$ 's the one with the highest $N P V$ is preferable, when $N P V=0$ means the investment breaks even (Gittinger, 1996).

### 2.2.5.3 Internal rate of return (IRR)

This is the discount rate that makes the NPV of project or investment equals zero (Boardman, 2006). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N P V=\sum_{t=1}^{t=n} \frac{B_{t}-C_{t}}{(1+r)^{t}}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, there is a problem with this method which makes it difficult to use. It involves a lot of try and error with different discount rates until you get the one that makes the $N P V=0$. Nevertheless an alternative and easier method exist which is by interpolation. The actual rate is found by interpolation between two discount rates that gives small positive and negative $N P V$ s. The rule of interpolation is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I R R=L D R+D\left[\frac{N P V_{L D R}}{N P V_{H D R}+N P V_{L D R}}\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where LDR denotes lower discount rate; $H D R$ denotes higher discount rate; $N P V_{L D R}$ denotes Net Present value at lower discount rate; $N P V_{H D R}$ denotes Net Present value at higher discount rate; $D$ denotes the difference between discount rates.

The decision rule is to accept independent projects with $\operatorname{IRR} \geq$ the opportunity cost of capital or the discount rate (Gittinger 1996). This implies that when IRR is $>$ the cost of capital, it implies that the project is viable, when IRR $=$ cost of capital, it implies the project will break even. However when the IRR $<$ cost of capital, it implies the project is not viable.

### 2.3 Underlying Assumptions and Project Operation rate

Profitability estimation was based on some assumptions in order to provide the framework for consistent analysis. The following assumptions were made:
I. The project life is 11 years starting from year zero to year ten; year zero being the year of acquiring the machinery
and installing the plant necessary for production. Therefore, there was no production in year zero.
II. The plant capacity is 20 metric tonnes per day. Processing is done six days a week, excluding public holidays resulting in a total of 300 working days in a year.
III. Actual processing of fruit takes 3days whiles the remaining 3 days are used for other activities that are not directly involved in the juice processing. Therefore, the firm has 300 working days. Thus, in a year 150 days are used for actual processing whiles the other 150 days are used for other activities that take place before and after processing.
IV. The conversion rate for pineapple is 0.6 tonne of juice per tonne of fresh fruit whiles that of mango is 0.4 tonne of juice per tonne of fresh mango. A tonne of pineapple fruit cost GHS 500.00 and a tonne of mango cost GHS1000.00.
V. The prices of the cost items are kept constant over the project life. Additionally total cost is commensurate with the increase in the use of plant capacity.
VI. Operation rate of the project is at $70 \%$ of plant capacity in year 1 and increases to $90 \%$ in the third and fourth years and to $100 \%$ in the fifth year. It means that by the fifth year the firm was operating at full capacity up to the end of the project life.
Contingency is at $5 \%$ of cost and the residual value of the capital elements is estimated at $10 \%$ of the original cost of the items, the cost of disposal of capital assets is at $10 \%$ of residual value. The straight line method of depreciation was used to depreciate the items.

### 2.4 Data collection through Interview with the Manager of Kudors Fruit Juice Limited

In this study, primary data was obtained through a structured questionnaire and personal interview with the manager. The interviews took place at the premises of the firm as this provided a chance to observe practically how fruit processing was done. The questionnaire was designed to cover the location of the firm and kinds of fruits being processed. It also included the cost of production, variable cost, labor cost and output level of the firm.

### 2.5 Study Area

Kudors fruit juice limited is located at Kasoa in the Awutu-Senya- East in the central region of Ghana.Awutu-Senya-East District with its capital Kasoa was carved from Ewutu Senya and forms part of the new districts and municipalities created in the year 2012 and were inaugurated at their various locations simultaneously on the 28th June, 2012 (www.ghanadistricts.com).

Awutu-Senya-East District is a new district; hence the assembly is in the process of collecting relevant data concerning its location and size; topography and drainage; climate and
vegetation; geology and soil; social infrastructure; economy and all that one needs to know about it (www.ghanadistricts. com).

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Capital items and cost

The initial capital investment, useful life and depreciation needed to begin the project as well as the costs are shown in the table 1 below.

A land size of 1 acre with a building of 70 by 20 feet dimension houses the processing plant and other equipment. The total cost of these was GHS40,000.00. The following items were purchased at the beginning of the project, these includes; juice extractor, corking machine, pasteurizer, vehicles, laptop/ computers, office furniture, storage tank and a gas cylinder. For the following items one of each was used; corking machine, laptop, and storage tank and gas cylinder which cost GHS 3,000.00, GHS 1,200.00, GHS600.00, and GHS500.00 respectively. The firm uses two juice extractors which cost GHS11, 000.00, and three pasteurizers and three vehicles which cost GHS900.00 and GHS39, 000.00 respectively.

### 3.2 Operating items and cost

The operating cost is mainly made up of variable cost of production such as the raw materials used, labor cost and utilities. The items used by the firm in its daily activities includes; fresh pineapples, fresh mangoes, electricity, bottles, fuel, boxes, water, labor, corks and labels. In year zero it was assumed that there was no production and it was estimated that the production will be at $70 \%$ operating capacity for years 1 and 2 then $90 \%$ for years 3 and 4 . Peak production is in year 5 where production is maintained throughout the project life.

The administration staff includes the following: secretary, marketing manager, production manager, electrician, mechanic and general manager. The secretary, marketing manager and electrician earns GHS200.00 per month whiles the production manager, mechanic and general manager earn GHS300.00, GHS600.00 and GHS 800.00 respectively.

The firm currently employs six laborers and their total cost per month is estimated to be GHS1, 200.00. Contingency cost was estimated at $5 \%$ of the baseline cost for each year. The fresh pineapple cost GHS500.00 per tonne and a tonne of fresh mango cost GHS 1,000.00.

Table 2 shows the operating items and cost.

### 3.3 Estimated Revenue <br> and Residual value

The firm produces two forms of the fruit juice; pineapple juice only and pineapple and mango blend. Therefore, the revenue received from the sale of the produce comes from these two forms. The project cash inflow was from the sale of the juice at GHS 20.00 per crate of juice. For the pineapple juice only, the estimated revenue for the first and second years (year 1 and year 2) of production was GHS120, 960.00 each, the third and fourth year had GHS155, 520.00 and the 5th year which is the peak year had GHS172, 800.00 and was maintained to the year 9 . The highest cash inflow occurred at year 10 which had a value of GHS221, 976. 00.

However, for the blend, the revenue received for year 1 and year 2 was GHS161, 280.00, year 2 and year 3 recorded GHS207,360.00, the fifth to ninth year had GHS230,400.00. The highest cash inflow recorded occurred at year 10 which had GHS283, 176.00. The residual value was calculated by the following formula:

Residual value at the end of the project $=$ Total Invest-ment- (Total annual depreciation*10) + value of land-cost of disposal of capital assets

Table 1. Capital investment

| Items | Size/capacity/ Quantity | Total cost GHS | Useful life | Total depreciation (90\%) | Annual depreciation <br> (GHS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land | 1 acre | 18000 | - |  |  |
| Building(70x20feet) | 1 | 22000 | 30 | 2200 | 660 |
| Juice extractor | 2 | 11000 | 10 | 1100 | 990 |
| Corking machine | 1 | 1300 | 10 | 130 | 117 |
| Pasteurizer | 3 | 900 | 10 | 90 | 54 |
| Vehicles | 3 | 39000 | 10 | 3900 | 3510 |
| Laptops/computers | 1 | 1200 | 5 | 120 | 216 |
| Office furniture and fitting | 5 | 1500 | 5 | 150 | 225 |
| Storage tank | 1 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 108 |
| Cylinder | 1 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 56 |
| Total Investment |  | 96000 |  | 5600 | 5936 |

Source: Authors' Computation from Field Survey, 2013

Table 2. Operating Cost

| Description | Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6-9 | 10 |
| Communication | 280 | 280 | 360 | 360 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Fresh pineapple (GH¢500/MT) | 38500 | 38500 | 49500 | 49500 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 |
| Fresh mango(GH¢ 1000/MT) | 8400 | 8400 | 10800 | 10800 | 12000 | 12000 | 12000 |
| Electricity | 756 | 756 | 972 | 972 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Bottles(1320 bottles/MT) | 1010 | 1010 | 2390 | 2300 | 2500 | 2500 | 2500 |
| Fuel | 2100 | 2100 | 2700 | 2700 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| Boxes (24bottles/box) | 1010 | 1010 | 2390 | 2390 | 2500 | 2500 | 2500 |
| Water | 756 | 756 | 972 | 972 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Labor | 8400 | 8400 | 10800 | 10800 | 12000 | 12000 | 12000 |
| Corks(GH¢ 160/box) | 2450 | 2450 | 3150 | 3150 | 3500 | 3500 | 3500 |
| Labels(0.50p/box) | 175 | 175 | 225 | 225 s | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Administration/month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secretary(GH¢ 200) | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 |
| Marketing Manager (2*200) | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Production Manager (GH¢300) | 3600 | 3600 | 3600 | 3600 | 3600 | 3600 | 3600 |
| Electrician(GH¢200) | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 | 2400 |
| Mechanic(GH¢400) | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 |
| General Manager(GH¢800) | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 |
| Total $\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{GH} \phi)$ | 98127 | 98127 | 119369 | 119369 | 129410 | 129410 | 129410 |
| Repairs \&maintenance 0.1-0.3\%of capital investment | 96.00 | 96.00 | 192.00 | 192.00 | 288.00 | 288.00 | 288.00 |
| Total Operating $\operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{GH} \nless)$ | 98223 | 98223 | 119561 | 119561 | 129698 | 129698 | 129698 |

Source: Authors' Computation from Field data, 2013

Table 3. Estimated Revenue for Pineapple Juice Production

|  | Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6-9$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity utilized (\%) <br> (20MT of fruit/day) | 70 | 70 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Capacity utilized (MT) <br> (20MT of fruit/day) | 2100 | 2100 | 2700 | 2700 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| Pineapple juice <br> (0.6MTof juice/MT fruit) | 1260 | 1260 | 1620 | 1620 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Pineapple juice(MT) <br> (60\% of juice) | 756 | 756 | 972 | 972 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Pineapple juice (8 crates/MT) | 6048 | 6048 | 7776 | 7776 | 8640 | 8640 | 8640 |
| Revenue(GHS 20/crate) | 120960 | 120960 | 155520 | 155520 | 172800 | 172800 | 172800 |

Source: Authors' Computation from Field Survey, 2013

### 3.4 Cash Flow Projections

In year zero (0) there was no juice processing, therefore, in the cash flow of the pineapple juice only there was a negative Net cash flow of GHS100, 800.00; in year 1 it also had a negative Net cash flow of GHS82, 974.00 due to the initial investment cost being greater than the revenue recorded. However, in year 2 the firm was able to recover its cost and had a positive Net cash flow of GHS17, 826.00. The Net cash flow
increased from GHS29, 981.00 in year 3 to GHS33,197.00 in year 5 which was kept constant through to year 9 . The cash flow value was highest in year 10 with a value of GHS85, 793.00 .

The pineapple and mango blend also had a negative Net cash flow of GHS100, 800.00 and GHS42, 654.00 in year 0 and 1 respectively. However, in year 2 it was able to recover the cost and had a positive Net cash flow of GHS58, 146.00. The Net cash flow increased from GHS207, 360.00 in year 3

Table 4. Estimated Revenue for Pineapple and Mango Juice Blend

|  | Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6-9$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity utilized (\%) | 70 | 70 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Capacity utilized (MT) | 2100 | 2100 | 2700 | 2700 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| Mango juice <br> (0.4MT of juice/MT of fruits) | 840 | 840 | 1080 | 1080 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 |
| $40 \%$ pineapple juice + mango juice blend <br> (MT) | 1344 | 1344 | 1728 | 1728 | 1920 | 1920 | 1920 |
| Pineapple and mango juice blend <br> $(6$ crates/MT) | 8064 | 8064 | 10368 | 10368 | 11520 | 11520 | 11520 |
| Revenue(GH¢20/crate) | 161280 | 161280 | 207360 | 207360 | 230400 | 234000 | 234000 |

Source: Authors' Computation from Field data, 2013
to GHS230, 780.00 in year 5 which was kept constant to year 9. Net cash flow of GHS283, 176.00 which was the highest was recorded in year 10 . The tables 5 and 6below summarize the cash flow projections for the two forms of juices.

### 3.5 Estimated project worth

At a discount rate of $21 \%$, the benefits and cost for both forms of the juice were discounted. For The pineapple juice processing has a BCR of1.03 and it had an NPV of GHS11, 728.00 and an internal rate of return of $23 \%$ which was greater than the discount rate $(21 \%)$ indicating that the project is viable (i.e., profitable).

The pineapple and mango blend processing had a BCR of 1.36, an NPV of GHS176, 831.00, and an internal rate of return recorded was $23 \%$ which was greater than the discount rate of $21 \%$ indicating that the project is viable (i.e., profitable).

However, a comparison the profitability of the two types of fruit juice processing suggests that it is more profitable to
go into the pineapple and mango blend processing as its BCR and NPV are all greater than that of pineapple juice only. Nevertheless, the internal rates of return for both types of processing are equal and greater than the cost of capital. The tables below summarize the cash flow projections for the two forms of the juice processing.

## 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

In this study, the profitability of fruit juice processing was carried out using data from Kudors fruit juice limited at Kasoa. The cost involved in fruit juice processing was obtained from the company. These include the capital cost and the operating cost. The profitability of the project was determined using the following discounted measures of project worth: Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR), Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR).

Table 5. Estimated cash flow projection for pineapple juice processing

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6-9$ | 10 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Investment | 96000 |  |  |  |  | 3800 |  |  |
| Operating cash out flow |  | 98223 | 98223 | 119561 | 119561 | 129698 | 129698 | 129698 |
| Baseline Cost |  | 194223 | 98223 | 119561 | 119561 | 133498 | 129698 | 129698 |
| Contingencies (5\% of cost) | 4800 | 9711 | 4911 | 5978 | 5978 | 6485 | 6485 | 6485 |
| Cash out flow | 100800 | 203934 | 103134 | 125539 | 125539 | 139983 | 136183 | 136183 |
| Revenue |  | 120960 | 120960 | 155520 | 155520 | 172800 | 172800 | 172800 |
| Residual value |  |  |  |  |  | 380 |  | 49176 |
| Cash In Flow |  | 120960 | 120960 | 155520 | 155520 | 173180 | 172800 | 221976 |
| Net Cash flow | -100800 | -82974 | 17826 | 29981 | 29981 | 33197 | 36617 | 85793 |
| Discount factor at 21\% |  | 0.8264 | 0.6830 | 0.5645 | 0.4665 | 0.3855 | 0.3186 | 0.1486 |
| Discounted Benefits |  | 99961 | 82616 | 87791 | 72550 | 66761 | 55054 | 32986 |
| Discounted Cost |  | 168531 | 70441 | 70867 | 58564 | 53963 | 43388 | 20237 |

BCR: 1.03
NPV: GHS11,728.00
IRR: 23\%
Source: Authors' Computation from Field data, 2013

Table 6. Estimated cash flow projection for pineapple and mango juice blend

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6-9$ | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Investment | 96000 |  |  |  |  | 3800 |  |  |
| Operating cash out flow |  | 98223 | 98223 | 119561 | 119561 | 129698 | 129698 | 129698 |
| Baseline Cost |  | 194223 | 98223 | 119561 | 119561 | 133498 | 129698 | 129698 |
| Contingencies <br> $(5 \%$ of cost | 4800 | 9711 | 4911 | 5978 | 5978 | 6485 | 6485 | 6485 |
| Cash out flow | 100800 | 203934 | 103134 | 125539 | 125539 | 139983 | 136183 | 136183 |
| Revenue |  | 161280 | 161280 | 207360 | 207360 | 230400 | 234000 | 234000 |
| Residual value |  |  |  |  |  | 380 |  | 49176 |
| Cash In Flow |  | 161280 | 161280 | 207360 | 207360 | 230780 | 234000 | 283176 |
| Net Cash flow | -100800 | -42654 | 58146 | 81821 | 81821 | 230780 | 97817 | 146993 |
| Discount factor at 21\% |  | 0.8264 | 0.6830 | 0.5645 | 0.4665 | 0.3855 | 0.3186 | 0.1486 |
| Discounted Benefits |  | 133282 | 110154 | 117055 | 96733 | 88966 | 74552 | 42080 |
| Discounted Cost |  | 168531 | 70441 | 70867 | 58564 | 53963 | 43388 | 20237 |

BCR: 1.36
NPV: GHS176, 831.00
IRR: $23 \%$
Source: Authors' Computation from Field data, 2013

The empirical results reveal that pineapple juice processing had a BCR of 1.03 which implies that pineapple juice processing is profitable. Further, the value of the NPV (GHS11, 728.00 ) and IRR ( $23 \%$ ) confirms that pineapple juice processing is profitable as the NPV is positive and the IRR is greater than the discounted rate of $21 \%$.

The results also reveal that it is more profitable to invest in processing pineapple and mango blend than the pineapple juice as this yields a BCR of 1.36 which was greater than the BCR of 1.03 for processing pineapple juice only. The NPV value of GHS $176,831.00$ is greater than that of the pineapple juice only (GHS11,728.00). This finding suggests that the blend is more profitable even though the IRR for both are the same. Moreover, it is also more likely to recover capital investment earlier with the pineapple and mango blend processing than processing pineapple juice only, because the net cash flow in year $2(G H S 58,146.00)$ for the blend is more than triple that of the pineapple juice only (GHS17,826.00).

The study provides the following recommendations. First, Agricultural products are highly seasonal and vulnerable to spoilage. To avoid high cost of raw materials during off-season, fruit juice processors should manufacture adequate volume of processed products to avoid stock-out. This equalizes the supply and demand of both raw and processed products. Second, enhanced efforts for market expansion should be done to help fruit juice processors sell their products especially outside the area of production (i.e., Kasoa). In this respect, conducting trade fairs and exhibitions are found to be effective in the introduction stage of these products. However, market linkages to potential outlets should be strengthened to sustain the fruit processing industry in the production area. Third, Food research institute should provide the expertise that would educate fruit juice processors on how to prolong
the shelf life of their products. Fourth, initial capital cost appears high; therefore, the government should provide loan schemes for fruit juice processors to enable them acquire the capital equipment needed for production.
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