Perspectives of the livestock sector in the Philippines: A review
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SUMMARY

The Philippine livestock industry is a vital component in the country’s agricultural sector. It contributes around 18.23 percent of the gross output value in agriculture and provides livelihood to many people living in rural areas, signifying its importance with regard to the country’s economy. The constantly increasing demand for animal protein associated with the increase of the world’s population led to the intensification of livestock production, realized through the various initiatives implemented by the government, such as the import of high producing purebreds of various species. This strategy greatly influenced the country’s animal genetic resource’s diversity and increased food animals’ population; however, it impacted the native breeds of food animals. Understanding the characteristics, performance and value of native and exotic breeds is essential to prioritize their livestock industry existence. Thus, this paper aims to characterize various native and exotic breeds of livestock in the Philippines and assess the current conservation of native animals.
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INTRODUCTION

The Philippines is chiefly an agricultural country, with crops and animal resources as a significant contributor to its gross domestic product. As part of the fastest-growing subsectors in the country’s agricultural industry, animal production provides livelihood to more or less 2.2 million households in 2015, either as sole livelihood or as part of a more extensive farming system and ranked highest among the various sectors in terms total employment in 2016 (P.S.A., 2015, 2016; Galang, 2017). In 2019, the Philippine livestock sectors’ gross output value was 328.1 billion pesos (5.65 billion euros), this amount to about 18.23% of the 1.8 trillion pesos (74.16 billion Euros) gross output value in agriculture in the same year which signifies its considerable contribution to the country’s economy (Sanchez, 2020). Swine, cattle, water buffalo, and goats are the primary commodities in the Philippine livestock production sector, while sheep, horses, and rabbits slowly gain popularity and importance. Livestock production in the country is broadly classified into small-scale semi-subsistence (backyard/smallholder) and commercial production systems. The classification is population-based, where farms with less than 20 heads of adult animals, or less than 41 heads of young animals, or a combination of fewer than 20 heads of adult and 22 heads of young animals are considered backyard and those that raise more than these values are considered commercial farms (F.A.O., 2003). Combined, there are millions of farms in the country, of which is majorly contributed by backyard farms, while, officially registered commercial farms is very low (188 farms) (PSA, 2012; D.A.-B.A.I., 2021). Operations from these two different production systems vary, as backyard farming relies more on local resources. In contrast, commercial farms rely more on importing animal genetic and feed resources and operating in intensive production systems involving primary animal commodities. However, the small-scale production system has an immense contribution to the country’s supply of animal protein. Most livestock species reared in the Philippines are under small-scale production (Figure 1).

Furthermore, the said operation also plays a vital part in the rearing of native animals, which has contributed a lot to rural farmers’ daily needs. However, with the introduction of exotic breeds, native breeds of livestock in backyard farms were negatively affected and this approach also influenced the great diversity of the country’s animal genetic resource (F.A.O., 2013). In order to have a better view of this diversity, it is essential to have detailed information about the various breeds of livestock that makes up the said diversity and one of the reliable method to get such information is through characterization. Characterization is used to provide reliable information regarding the animals’ genetic resource and is essential in initiating successful management strategies and programs (F.A.O., 2007). Therefore, such breeds’ characterization can better understand their strengths and importance in the country’s livestock industry and assess the country’s initiatives for conserving native animals.
GENETIC RESOURCES IN THE PHILIPPINES

The presence of domestic animals in the Philippines began in the Neolithic and Metal Age where evidences of faunal remains from domestic animals (pig, water buffalo, deer, and dog) were retrieved from the upper layer of the Neolithic and Metal Age Nagsabaran shell midden site in Cagayan, Northern Luzon, Philippines (Amano et al., 2013). However, there is no solid proof of livestock domestication in that period. Large ruminants such as water buffalo and cattle’s domestication, however, is proved by archaeological data, historical records and genomic evidence, which was believed to have occurred in the near east and Asia (Ajmone-Marsan et al., 2010; Pitt et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020). The Asian water buffalo which includes two subspecies (river and swamp-type) was independently domesticated in India about 5,000 years ago and in China about 4,000 years ago, respectively and spread throughout southern and southeast Asian regions as a valuable domestic animal (C.A.B.I.; Borghese, 2005). Cattle domestication was long before buffaloes, which is more than 10,000 years ago in the Near East (Bollongino et al., 2012; Pitt et al., 2018). These species introduction to the Philippines was influenced by the Chinese migrants and the Spanish colonizers (Bondoc, 1998). The swamp-type water buffalo (Carabao) is reared for its meat and draft power, while river-types such as Murrah are raised for meat and dairy. Cattle are also reared for meat and dairy, however the native cattle has its limitation in terms of milk production. Native breeds and crossbreds of these species (Figure 2 and 3) are usually reared for draft purposes essential to rural small-scale farmers (F.A.O., 2003). There are several types of native cattle in the Philippines classified based on their geographical location and phenotype. Through microsatellite genotyping Aquino et al. (2006) discovered that these populations descended from three parental populations: zebu (Bos indicus), taurine (Bos taurus) and banteng (Bos banteng).
The small ruminants (sheep and goats) raising in the country are dominated by smallholder farmers and an excellent secondary income source. Typically, households in rural areas own diverse livestock species as they help them in their everyday lives. In some homes, goats are primarily raised for their consumption. Its meat (chevon) is prepared in many dishes, especially during special occasions such as birthdays and festivals; this makes its population higher than sheep (P.S.A., 2020a). It is believed that the native goats in the country originated from wild goats of western Asia, which Arab and Chinese traders introduced between the 7th to 9th centuries B.C. (Devendra 1983; Bondoc, 1998). They exist in various colors, mainly black-brown and some have mixed color of brown, black, reddish-brown and white (Figure 4). They have small body size with an adult weight of only 15 to 30 kg. The does can only produce 66 kg of milk over a lactation period of 187 days, which is just enough for their kid. Its carcass attributes is poor compared to purebreds and upgrades signifying its low productive performance compared to exotic breeds (Malvaz, 2019; Nayga et al., 2015).

Figure 4. Philippine native goat A: Brown and black, B: Black and white, C: Reddish brown and black, D: Mixture of white, black and brown

The exotic breeds of dairy type (Anglo-Nubian, French Alpine, La Mancha, Saanen, and Toggenburg) and meat type (Jumna Pari and Boer) goats were introduced in the country before the second World War in the hopes of supplying the demand of meat and milk through crossbreeding as well as raising the said purebreds (Bondoc, 1998). This initiative gave rise to commercial farms, of which goats are reared either extensively or intensively. However, chevon and mutton are still rare in the public market compared to pork, beef, and poultry, which indicates its low supply. Sheep in the country are raised mainly for their meat. However, it was believed that the Philippine sheep originated from merino introduced to the country during the Spanish era (1521 to 1898). Little or no interest has been explored for sheep's wool; they are reared mainly for the same purpose as goats in rural households, but it is less popular than goats.

The previously mentioned livestock species above are far behind pigs in terms of popularity and importance in providing additional income to farmers and supplying the meat demand in the market (D.O.S.T.-P.C.A.R.R.D., 2016). The first domestication of pigs was in the Near East between 8,500 and 8,000 cal BC. (Caliebe et al., 2017). In the Philippines, it is believed that, domestic pigs were introduced around 4,000 years ago where the Chinese migrants brought them via Taiwan and the Spaniards' influence during the Spanish era (Bondoc, 1998; Piper et al., 2009). The genetic resource of pigs in the country is highly diverse and is classified into exotic standard purebreds, synthetic hybrids, Philippine wild pigs (black), and Philippine native pigs (either black or black with a white belly) (Oh et al., 2014). However, the exotic breeds of pigs and their crosses are highly productive. Some rural farmers still engage in rearing native pigs, which aided in their daily living. Through
the years of domestication and with the influence of exotic breeds, the native pigs were considered mongrels and have varied characteristics depending on the region where they are found (Baguio, 2017). To improve the productive performance of indigenous pigs and its purification, the Philippine government through the Bureau of Animal Industry (B.A.I.), National Swine and Poultry Research and Development Center (N.S.P.R.D.C.) and the Philippine Native Animal Development (P.N.A.D.) program, initiated a breeding program which was funded by the Philippine Council for Agriculture, Aquatic and Natural Resources Research and Development (P.C.A.A.R.R.D.). Due to the diversity of native pigs in the country, the approach of the program was to select and purify the strains based on region. With this, B.A.I.-N.S.P.R.D.C. developed various strains of native pigs (Figure 5) and named them after the province or region of their origin.

Figure 5. Philippine native pigs: A: Benguet native pig, B: Q-Black, C: Markaduke, D: BT Black, E: BT Kalinga, F: Yookah (Source: Bar Digest, 2016; PCAARRD-DOST), G and H: Bukidnon native pigs

These strains include (Benguet native pig (BNP), Q-Black, Markaduke, Bai Tiaong (BT) black and Bai Tiaong Kalinga,Yookah, Sinirangan and ISUbela) (The Pork Production Committee, 2004; Icamina, 2019; P.C.A.A.R.R.D.-D.O.S.T.). There are various preparations for its meat, but the most popular is roasting it whole (Lechon). There is a steady increase in this product’s demand as its meat is healthier than exotic breeds’ meat, based on its higher crude protein and ash content and lower calorie levels from fat and cholesterol (Dela Cruz, 2016). When slaughtered at the right weight (20 to 25 kg), the said product is flavorsome and its carcass recovery is comparable to commercial or exotic breeds (Abanto et al., 2012; Bondoc et al., 2017). This commands a competitive price in the market, which is beneficial to local farmers (Brion, 2016). Details regarding the history, utilization, performance, and comparison of the various native and exotic breeds of livestock in the country are presented in *Table 1, 2a, 2b, 2c,* and *3,* respectively.
Table 1. Origin, utilization, and performance of various native breeds of livestock in the Philippines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livestock species</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
<th>Performance and situation</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Philippine Carabao/ water buffalo (<em>Bubalus bubalis</em>)</td>
<td>• China</td>
<td>Meat and draft</td>
<td>• High endurance for work and can provide meat. Low milk yield (2.57 kg per day) in 208.2 days lactation. Its age of 1st calving is variable 3 years, 7 months to 5.3 years, depending on the management and condition.</td>
<td>Momongan et al., 1991 Bondoc, 1998; P.C.C., 2020 N.Z.D.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaraw (<em>Bubalus mendoreshis</em>)</td>
<td>• Mindoro, Philippines</td>
<td>Protected/conserved as it is classified as endangered</td>
<td>• Critically endangered</td>
<td>Boyles and De Leon, 2016 Huffman, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine native cattle (<em>Bos indicus, Bos taurus, Bos banteng</em>)</td>
<td>• China and Spain</td>
<td>Meat, milk, and draft</td>
<td>• Small (280–80 kg adult weight) and has low milk yield (1.3 liters per day). It is still raised as source of additional income. • Its beef has a profound taste, which the Filipinos love.</td>
<td>Villegas and Cruz, 1958 Bondoc 1998; F.A.O., 2003 Takeshima et al., 2014, Doydora et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine native goat Dadiangas goat (<em>Capra hircus</em>)</td>
<td>• China • General Santos, Philippines</td>
<td>Meat and milk</td>
<td>• Small (15 to 30 kg) and has low milk yield. • Highly prolific, well-adapted and resistant to various diseases and has a high twinning rate (1.35).</td>
<td>Bondoc et al., 2002, F.A.O., 2003, Susuban et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine wild swine: <em>Sus celebensis</em>Philippinensis<em>Nehring, Sus celebensenisnegrinus Sanborn, or Sus barbatus ahoenobarbusHuet</em></td>
<td>• Philippines</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>• Rarely seen due to deforestation, slash and burn systems, and excessive hunting, can be found in vast forestall areas.</td>
<td>Bondoc, 1998 F.A.O., 2003 Grooves, 2008, Wild Pig, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine native swine (<em>Sus scrofa and Sus vittatus</em>) Varieties: Ilocos and Jaljala. Old strains: Berkjala, Diani, Kaman, Koronadel, and Libtong New strains: BNP, Q-Black, Markaduke, BT black, Yookah, BT Kalinga</td>
<td>• Europe and Asia</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>• Reared by smallholders due to their low input and adaptability to local environmental conditions. • Its productivity varies (mature weight of 40 to 60 kg in 6–8 months, average litter size at birth and after weaning is 8.2 and 6.75, respectively) depending on the region and management.</td>
<td>Bondoc, 1998 Santiago, 2010, TPS, 2020a Oh et al., 2014, Bondoc et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2a. Origin, utilization and performance of some exotic and synthetic breeds of livestock in the Philippines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livestock species</th>
<th>Origin and history</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Buffalo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murrah buffalo</td>
<td>Punjab and Haryana (India)</td>
<td>Milk and meat</td>
<td>Produce an average 4.58 kg of milk per day with a lactation length of 360.3 days. Age at 1st calving is 4.36 years and its calving interval is 15.5 months.</td>
<td>Shrestha 1992, Bondoc, 1998, Borghese, 2005 P.C.C., 2015, P.C.C., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(River type)</td>
<td>Live animals were introduced in the country in June 1917, frozen semen in 1982. In 1995 Bulgarian Murrah buffaloes were imported.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(River type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 Phil-Murrah</td>
<td>India and Philippines</td>
<td>Milk, meat, and draft</td>
<td>Heavier birth and adult weight than native buffalo. The average lactation yield is 3.66 kg per day with a lactation length of 297.1 days. Age at 1st calving is 4.3 to 5.16 years, depending on the management and condition.</td>
<td>Shrestha 1992, Garilloc, 1986; Delos Santos, 1987 Borghese, 2005, PCC, 2015, 2018 Momongan et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 Phil-Ravi</td>
<td>Pakistan, India, Philippines</td>
<td>Milk, meat, and draft</td>
<td>Heavier birth and adult weight than native buffalo. The average lactation yield is 3.96 kg per day with a lactation length of 292.6 days. Age at 1st calving is 5.11 years</td>
<td>Shrestha 1992, Garilloc, 1986; Delos Santos, 1987 Bondoc, 1998, Borghese, 2005 PCC, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cattle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Brahman</td>
<td>Texas U.S.A. from four Indian breeds (Gyr, Guzerat, Nellore, and Krishna Valley) in the 1900s.</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>Hybrid vigor, do well on poor range and tolerant drought conditions. Dominant breed raised in commercial farms. Crossbred (Native x Brahman) is prominent in the country.</td>
<td>Cutrer, 2020, Akerman, 1992, Bondoc, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simmental</td>
<td>Philippines was the 1st Asian nation to import American Brahman in 1952</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>High, long-term productivity, calving ease, short intervals between calving and efficient feed converters.</td>
<td>Bondoc, 1998, TCS, 2020a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereford</td>
<td>Middle Ages, Simme Valley. Cross between large German cattle and a smaller breed indigenous to Switzerland.</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>High calf crops, docile, early maturing, and long productive life. Miniature Hereford (Hereford x Native cattle), raised in minimal inputs.</td>
<td>TCS, 2020b, Mindanews, 2015, Provido, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagyu (Japanese Black)</td>
<td>1944, developed through selective intra-breeding. Introduction to Philippines is unclear. However, it is believed that it got in through frozen semen or embryo transfer (ET).</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>Early maturing, high meat quality, higher ratio of unsaturated fats. Purebreds and Crossbreds (native x Wagyu), (Brahman x Wagyu) are reared in Umalag Farms, and N.B.C.R.D.C., Bukidnon, Mindanao.</td>
<td>TCS, 2020c Wagyuinternational, 2013 Gotoh et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holstein Friesian</td>
<td>North Holland and Friesland, Netherlands, 2000 years ago. Strict selective breeding lead to the breed’s high productivity and efficiency.</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>In the Philippines, the purebreds have moderate performance. Crossbreds, 50% to 75% Holstein blood perform better than purebreds. Distribution is facilitated by the National Dairy Authority (NDA)</td>
<td>TCS, 2020d Olson, et al., 2009, Berman, 2011, Coffey et al., 2016, Alqaesi et al., 2019, N.D.A. Hermosura and Mordeno, 1982, Olson et al., 2009, Berman, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2a. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livestock species</th>
<th>Origin and history</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x Sahiwal</td>
<td>Imported from New Zealand in the mid 1980's.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adapt better to Philippine condition. Average lactation is 1,392 ± 707 kg in 278 ± 100 days lactation and age at 1st lactation is 2.4 years. Calving interval is 430 ± 84 days.</td>
<td>TCS, Bondoc 1998, F.A.O., 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Breeds of cattle that were introduced in the country are classified into various types (a beef, b dairy and c dual-purpose) which came from tropical and temperate countries all over the world. There also some d composite breeds, however its population is quite low. Although there were a lot of various breeds introduced in the country only few breeds remain, particularly those breeds that are well adapted in the country's climatic condition such as Brahman (F.A.O., 2003). With this, crossbreeding of exotic and Philippine native cattle was rampant leading to the non-descript cattle population in the country (Bondoc, 1998). Philippine Carabao Center, National Beef Cattle Research and Development Center

Table 2b. Origin, utilization and performance of some exotic breeds of goat in the Philippines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livestock species</th>
<th>Origin and history</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-Nubian</td>
<td>1850, crossbreed between the common English and Nubian goat from Nubia, Upper Egypt, Abyssinia and South Africa.</td>
<td>Meat and milk</td>
<td>Largest breed of dairy goat (75 kg). Its average milk yield is 1.15kg per day in a 127 days lactation period with a twinning rate of 1.14. It is used to upgrade the native goats.</td>
<td>British goat society, DPI-NSW, 2020a, Parawan, 1987, Bondoc et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Alpine</td>
<td>French Alps.</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>In Philippine condition, they can reach 35 to 45 kg and can produce 1.23kg of milk per day for 125 days and a twinning rate of 1.20.</td>
<td>French genetics: Alpine, Tablizo and Araneta, 1987 DPI-NSW, 2020b, Villar et al., 1984, Bondoc, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toggenburg</td>
<td>Toggenburg Valley of Switzerland.</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>In the Philippines, it produces an average of 0.63 kg milk per day (3.6% butterfat) for 105 days, and has a twinning rate of 1.24.</td>
<td>Goat extension, 2019, Villar et al., 1984, Bondoc, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saamen</td>
<td>Originated in the Saanen valley in the south of Canton Berne, Switzerland in 1893.</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>In the Philippines, they weigh around 40-55 kg, produce 1.09 kg of milk per day (4.3 % butterfat) in a 98 day lactation period and have a twinning rate of 1.04.</td>
<td>Goat extension, 2019, Bondoc, 1998, Liang and Paengkoum, 2019 American Boer Goat Association, Breeds of livestock, Cassey and Van Niekerk, 1988, FAO, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boer</td>
<td>South Africa, during the 19th century</td>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>Docile, high fertility, fast-growing (adult weight: 86-154kg) and high quality lean. In the country Boer goats are often crossed with Anglo-Nubian and native.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLICIES, STRATEGIES AND PROGRAMS OF ANIMAL GENETIC RESOURCE IN THE PHILIPPINES

The country’s concern for animal genetic resources began in the 1935 Constitution of the Philippine Government when it first expressed its intention to “conserve and develop the nation’s heritage.” This has led to government agencies’ establishment that focuses on agriculture (Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources; Bureau of animal industry and Bureau of plant industry). This was made more explicit when the government declared that “The State shall develop a self-reliant and independent national economy effectively controlled by Filipinos. It shall support indigenous, appropriate and self-reliant scientific and technological capabilities and their application to the country’s production system and national life.” This gave birth to the importation of exotic breeds to either replace and or upgrade the local stocks. During World War II, the majority of the improved and exotic stocks were wiped out. After the war, the country had the chance to renew its importation of large numbers of exotic breeding stocks with the United States Government’s help. This was the beginning of the nationwide dissemination of exotic breeds through the dispersal program (F.A.O., 2003).

With the government’s aim to increase the population of exotic stocks in the country, it provided generous incentives that promote private importers of commercial breeding stocks of livestock and poultry animals. In 1976, the Livestock Development Council (L.D.C.) was created under the Department of Agriculture administrative supervision to develop a livestock industry and increase the supply of livestock and livestock products and attain self-sufficiency in food commodities of animal origin. Since then, along with the B.A.I.-Animal Genetic Resource improvement and Conservation Program, various programs were created, specific to the various commodities (Table 4), and shaped the Philippine livestock industry. Although exotic stocks are massively produced, smallholder farmers continued to keep indigenous stocks. However, generations of crossbreeding led to the reduction of native breeds, particularly on the commodities that are intensively reared (swine), and increased the population of non-descript breeds of Livestock in the country. Understanding and accepting safe and healthy food from natural origin is usually neglected in commercial livestock production. Currently, this is the trend, but is still limited to people that can afford the said product. This situation, gave rise to the country’s interest in the native animal’s potential to attain such a product. This was then given preferential attention by the D.A.-B.A.I. and created the Philippine Native Animal Development program through the Administrative order issued by the former Agricultural Secretary Proceso J. Alcala in August 2, 2010. The aim was to conserve and utilize native food animals and recognize its opportunity to provide income and alleviate poverty in rural areas. With its proven potential, the said program was presented and proposed in the Republic of the Philippines' sixteenth Congress under the senate bill no. 2674 (Legacy.senate.gov.ph, 2015; Lesaca, 2016).

Since then, the proposal of the Philippine Native Animal Development Program was formulated and highlighted the creation of the Philippine Animal Development Center (P.N.A.D.C.), National Livestock and Poultry Genetics (N.L.P.G.), and Native Animal Genetic Centers (N.A.R.S.C.). This has a great impact on the dissemination of exotic breeds through the dispersal program, particularly on the commodities that are intensively reared (swine), and increased the population of non-descript breeds of Livestock in the country. Understanding and accepting safe and healthy food from natural origin is usually neglected in commercial livestock production. Currently, this is the trend, but is still limited to people that can afford the said product. This situation, gave rise to the country’s interest in the native animal’s potential to attain such a product. This was then given preferential attention by the D.A.-B.A.I. and created the Philippine Native Animal Development program through the Administrative order issued by the former Agricultural Secretary Proceso J. Alcala in August 2, 2010. The aim was to conserve and utilize native food animals and recognize its opportunity to provide income and alleviate poverty in rural areas. With its proven potential, the said program was presented and proposed in the Republic of the Philippines' sixteenth Congress under the senate bill no. 2674 (Legacy.senate.gov.ph, 2015; Lesaca, 2016).

Since then, the proposal of the Philippine Native Animal Development Program was formulated and highlighted the creation of the Philippine Animal Development Center (P.N.A.D.C.), National Livestock and Poultry Genetics (N.L.P.G.), and Native Animal Genetic Centers (N.A.R.S.C.). This has a great impact on the dissemination of exotic breeds through the dispersal program.
### Table 3. Comparison of native and some of the exotic breeds of livestock in the Philippines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livestock</th>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Productivity</th>
<th>Adaptability</th>
<th>Acceptability</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native breeds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine carabao</td>
<td>A source of good meat and draft animal</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Bondoc, 1998, Flores, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine native cattle</td>
<td>Good for the draft and has good quality meat.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>F.A.O., 2003, Doydora et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine native goat</td>
<td>Early maturing, short kidding intervals, high twinning rate.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Bondoc et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine native swine</td>
<td>Prolific, early maturing (4-5 months), low F.C.R. and small mature size.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Santiago, 2010, Oh et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exotic Breeds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murrah Buffalo</td>
<td>High milk yield and large body size.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>PCC, 2015, Boro et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cattle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brahman</td>
<td>Hybrid vigor, heat, and insect tolerant, fast-growing and large</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Cutter, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holstein</td>
<td>Docile and high milk yield.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Coffey et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goat</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-Nubian</td>
<td>Docile, moderate milk yield, fast-growing and large.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Bondoc et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saamen</td>
<td>High milk yield and milk quality</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Liang and Paengkoum, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boer</td>
<td>large body and good meat quality</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>American Boer Goat Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Swine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duroc</td>
<td>Efficient feed converters, and less fat.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Hagan and Etim, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landrace</td>
<td>Long body, high carcass recovery, heavy birth weights, standard average weaning weights</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Cameron, 2010, Thienpimol et al., 2017, Hagan and Etim, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large white</td>
<td>Large, large litter size, heavy birth weights, standard average weaning weights</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>TPS, 2020d, Thienpimol et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietrain</td>
<td>Muscled ham, loin and shoulder</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>TPS, 2020e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Based on average performance, 2 Based on farmers' interest 3 These are the famous and mostly raised exotic breeds in the country, 4 Only breeder farms and genetic companies can raise these purebreds. Market hogs in the country are often 3-way and or 4-way cross from these highly productive breeds, native breed requires low input. In contrast, exotic breeds require moderate to high inputs.
Table 4. Programs, production, and vision of the Philippine livestock industry

| Livestock | Programs and research activities (production and conservation) | Inventory, million heads | Products | Past, present and future demand and trends
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water buffalo</td>
<td>P.C.C., National Carabao Development Program, Carabaob Genetic Improvement Program P.N.A.D.</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>meat and milk</td>
<td>• Government initiatives in the past to increase the volume of production through the importation of exotic breeding animals affected the farmers’ mentality and led to the country’s dependence on such a strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td><em>Cattle Genetic Improvement Programs:</em> National Cattle Breeding Program, Medium Term Livestock Development Program, Establishment of Elite Herd, National Genetic Resource Improvement Program, Establishment of Animal Products Development Center</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>meat and milk</td>
<td>• A shift in consumer preferences towards naturally produced animal food products that involve the rearing of native animals is gaining significant attention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat and Sheep</td>
<td>Australian Center for International Agricultural Research –Department of Agriculture Project: Performance Evaluation and Genetic Improvement of Small Ruminants in the Philippines. Recording system through customized breed plan, Buck and Ram Loan Program, Buck stud service in the village, P.N.A.D.</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>meat and milk</td>
<td>• The governments’ response for this trend led to the development of conservation programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine</td>
<td>Swine Genetic Improvement Activities: Massive upgrading of native pigs in the 1950s, Breed Development, Characterization, selection, and breeding of native pigs through small and short-term projects: B.A.I.-N.S.P.R.D.C., P.N.A.D.</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>meat</td>
<td>• It is certain that these programs will face various challenges and its sustenance will depend on the responsible people (government officials, researchers and scientist, farmers and consumers).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*These are the total population (native and exotic breeds) of every species of Livestock in the country. Unfortunately, there is no available data on the specific inventory of native stocks in the country. However, based on the trend, most livestock breeds raised in the country are exotic breeds and crossbreds.

CONCLUSIONS

Native and exotic breeds of livestock in the Philippines greatly differ in character, adaptability and production performance. The introduction of modern genotypes of livestock made a significant contribution to the development of the Philippine livestock industry and with their competitive advantage over the native breeds jeopardized the existence of the latter and led to an increase of nondescript animals. Despite the fact that native breeds of livestock have low productive performance, they can be reared with low inputs and are potential source of healthy animal protein and commands a competitive price in the market. Realizing the potential of the native animals, the government created conservation programs and encouraged farmers into rearing the said animals. Satisfying the country’s need for affordable animal protein, while maintaining the local animal genetic resource is a great challenge that can be possibly addressed through intensification and creation of a systematic production approach led by the government and involves both the cooperation of smallholders and commercial farmers. Such challenge is a crucial deciding factor for prioritizing certain breeds of livestock that can give the most benefit to the said industry. Therefore, a confident approach that could satisfy the said need without neglecting the importance of the native breeds as well as having the least impact on the environment can be a solution for this matter. The government had already made the first step, through its various livestock programs that are handled by the respective responsible agencies and with good management, along with the farmers’ cooperation and acceptance, it is possible for the country to secure the said need and conserve the native animals.
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