Application of laparoscopic artificial insemination in conventional Lacaune sheep farm using frozen-thawed semen
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SUMMARY

The complex anatomical structure of the ewe reproductive tract accompanied with low quality of frozen ram semen for artificial insemination, resulted in a challenge with regard to using superior genotypes for reproductive ovine performance. Hence, improved genetics in ovine management has not been efficiently and widely used especially in undeveloped countries. Therefore, intrauterine semen deposition by laparoscopic insemination should be adopted in the current sheep production systems. Thus, this study aimed to assess the pregnancy rate and lambing rate of ewe inseminated by laparoscopic insemination techniques using frozen-thawed semen. The research used imported frozen semen from two rams of the Lacaune breed. Ewes were grouped according to age in years (1, 2 and 4). Before insemination, the semen was examined microscopically for its motility and viability and thereafter the laparoscopic artificial insemination technique was performed to 19 Lacaune breed ewes using frozen-thawed semen. The overall pregnancy and prolificacy rates were 31.57% and 42.10% respectively. Out of 2 ewes in the 1-year age group that were inseminated, only 1 ewe lambed representing 50%. However, from 16 ewes inseminated of four-year age group, 5 ewes lambed representing 31.25%. Significant difference based on age group was not evaluated due disproportionate of the data, (such that the data included 2 ewes in one-year-old age, 1 ewe in 2-year-old age and 16 ewes in 4-year-old age). Based on the ram semen, 33.33% and 30% of the inseminated ewes were pregnant from ram A and ram B semen respectively. However, in the case of prolificacy rate, 44.44% and 40% of the ewes lambed from using semen of ram A and B, respectively. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in pregnancy and prolificacy rates based on semen from the two rams. In conclusion, in this research study, ram semen had no significant effect on pregnancy and prolificacy rates using laparoscopic AI on Lacaune sheep. This could be due to the fact that the rams had very good quality semen. Evaluation of ram semen, accompanied with appropriate ewe selection based on age and rightful deposition of semen could lead to better and more consistent results. Overall this could contribute to the successful application of laparoscopic artificial insemination in Lacaune sheep production systems for enhanced productivity.
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INTRODUCTION

Artificial insemination (AI) is one of the assisted reproductive technologies (ART) used in the production of farm animal that has revolutionized to breed livestock (cattle) within the past few decades. Artificial insemination achieves a higher pregnancy rate even if using low-quality semen with improved efficiency in techniques of semen preservation and estrus synchronization, including in small ruminates (Dun et al., 1955). In sheep production, AI is one of the main ART which has contributed in a higher degree to the genetic improvement for the introduction of desirable genes that better-quality production traits and controlled disease spread, but the application of AI in sheep production is relatively still limited globally (Faiq et al., 2012), due to many factors that have affected AI success in sheep, its technique and skill as the main factors affecting fertility after AI (Anel et al., 2005).

Artificial insemination is a powerful tool in sheep breeding programs for making rapid genetic progress of the flock, however, its implementation in sheep production mainly using frozen-thawed semen the fertility rates are low, due to the highly complex anatomical structure of ewe’s reproductive tract, which prevents deep insemination and decreases the efficiency of the methods (Salamon et al., 2000). Currently, laparoscopic artificial insemination (LAI) is an unconventional technique for using frozen semen (Epplleston et al., 1995).

Thus limitation mainly due to great inconsistency of its fertility and the specific complications that are existed by its application, the problem to developing sheep AI belongs to the routes of application that is limited by the anatomy of the ewe’s reproductive tract (Kershaw et al., 2005). Therefore, AI in sheep breeding program by laparoscope is the most widely practiced technique, that consents deposition of semen directly into the uterine horns through surgically, to overcoming the limitations of AI in sheep such as the anatomy of the cervix, differently from other species (equine, swine, bovine), the insemination pipette does not allow the passes into the fertilization site (Kershaw et al., 2005); and low fertilizing ability of frozen-thawed semen and is damaged easily by oxidative stress due to cold shocks (Gillan et al., 1999). Furthermore, it has advantages, inseminating a dose with lower sperm concentration, the spermatozoa being deposited closer to the fertilization site. However, it is a surgical procedure requiring anesthetia, highly sophisticated instrument and trained persons. In the previous years, many researchers have been made to overcome the drawbacks of AI in the sheep industry, primarily focusing on the cervical obstacle, apart from that hormonal treatment inducing cervical relaxation (Falchi et al., 2012; Macias et al., 2017), design of catheters revised due to the twisting lumen of the cervix
Semen evaluation

The frozen-thawed Lacaune ram semen (which has been imported from France) was evaluated before insemination with light a microscope by using 40X magnification power. The semen was microscopically examined for its motility and viability. In this study, the motility of the semen was ranged between 40–60% and the volume of the semen was recorded 0.5 ml dose per ewes. The ram semen at a time of insemination, the straws were thawed in a water bath at 37–38 °C for 30 seconds. In this study 0.5 ml per ewes with the concentration of spermatozoa 100 million cell spermatozoa and the motility of sperm 40–60% were used.

Surgical procedure

Ten minutes before the surgery, the ewes received an anesthetize treatment using Domosedan inj. A.U.V. (Detomidine Hydrochloride (C$_{14}$H$_{16}$N$_{2}$HCl)) to avoid pain during surgical procures and adjust with the appropriate insemination place (laparoscopy cradle) and to ensure every necessary materials and solution were available. The area is surgically scrubbed with 70% isopropyl alcohol. Both the left and right sides of the mammary gland were identified and prepared the abdominal region by shearing the wool and disinfect the skins before surgery. The laparoscope AI cradle was elevated up and the ewe’s position was in recumbence head down (Trendelenburg position by using the cradle). The scalpel blades used to create the holes through the skin on both sides of the mammary gland.

Laparoscopic artificial insemination

Ewes were subjected to laparoscopic artificial insemination at 54–56 hours after Chrono-Gest intravaginal sponge removal and PMSG injection. Then ewes were put on the cradle (Trendelenburg position) and the ewe position in a recumbence for the head down. The scalpel blades were used to create around 0.5-inch hole through the skin in the mammary gland on both side and the inseminating gun was introduced into the lumen of the uterus horn and 0.5 ml per ewe of frozen-thawed semen containing 100 million sperm cell was deposited in techniques. After laparoscopic AI, all ewes had received Betamox LA A.U.V (antibiotics) and NSAIDs (non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs) to protect infection and the risk of bleeding and blood cloting, respectively.

Pregnancy and prolificacy rates

The pregnancy test was detected by an ultrasound machine after 30 days of insemination by using abdominal ultrasonography using a MINDRAY DP-30 (China) Lambing rate was recorded after 5 months of pregnancy during the times of lambing.
The pregnancy and prolificacy rates per ewes were determined by using the following equation:

Pregnancy rate (%) = (Number of pregnant ewes/ Number of inseminated ewes) x 100

Lambing rate (%) = (Number of ewes lambed/ Number of inseminated ewes) x 100

Prolificacy rate (%) = (Number of lambs born/ Number of ewes lambed) x 100

**Data analysis**

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. The data were presented in table and graph form. The age and semen which was collected from two rams were considered to check the difference. Difference between pregnancy and prolificacy rates were considered to be significant at p< 0.05.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characteristics of ewes used for insemination**

In Table 1 below, the age category, date of pregnancy tests and result, lambing date, treatment, the source of semen from two rams and date of insemination were shown. Sixteen ewes were aged 4 years old, 2 ewes were 1-year-old and only one ewe was 2 years old. Nine ewes were inseminated with semen derived from ram A and 10 ewes inseminated by semen taken from ram B. The result revealed that all ewes tested positive/pregnant for pregnancy test were lambed.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ewe ID</th>
<th>Age of ewe (year)</th>
<th>Pregnancy test</th>
<th>Lambing date</th>
<th>Ram</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5209</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Chrono-Gest intravaginal sponges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50049</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(MSD Animal Health) for a 14 days’ treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50063</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>followed by Folligon injection 500IU PMSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50191</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50201</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>March 04, 2020</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50203</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>March 05, 2020</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50214</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50225</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>March 04, 2020</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50230</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>March 05, 2020</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50033</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50181</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50184</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>March 07, 2020</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50215</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>March 06, 2020</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50216</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50224</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All ewes were inseminated on October 10, 2019 and pregnancy test was on November 8, 2019, NP: none pregnant, P: pregnant

**Pregnancy and prolificacy rates based on the age of ewes**

The overall pregnancy rate was 31.57% (6/19) and the prolificacy rate was 42.10% (8/19) (Table 2). Pregnancy rate of ewes aged 4 years old was 31.25% and 1-year-old was 50% (Table 2). Prolificacy rate of aged 1-year-old was 50% and aged 4 years old was 43.75% (Table 2). Because of the disproportionate nature of data, the significant difference between age groups was not tested.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of ewes (years)</th>
<th>Number of inseminated ewes</th>
<th>Number of pregnant</th>
<th>Pregnancy rate (%)</th>
<th>Number of lambed</th>
<th>Number of lambs born</th>
<th>Prolificacy rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pregnant rate: number pregnant/ number of inseminated X100%, Prolificacy rate: number of lambs born /number of inseminated X100%
Age of ewe is among several factors that affect the efficiency of LAI, specifically pregnancy and lambing rates in sheep breeding programs (Anel et al., 2005). As a result, it must be considered during application LAI in the sheep sector. Therefore, in this study the age of ewe was one of the factors taken into consideration to evaluate its effect on pregnancy and lambing rates by LAI in Lacaune breeds using frozen-thawed semen. Because of the disproportionate nature of data in the current study, the statistically significant difference between age groups for pregnancy and prolificacy rates was not evaluated. However, the result revealed that the pregnancy rate of ewes aged 4 years old was 31.25% and 1-year-old was 50%. Prolificacy rate of aged 1-year-old was 50% and aged 4 years old was 43.75%, this result is supported by the finding of Shackell et al. (2000) who indicated that fertility of ewe decreases when the age increases that are due to the reproductive disorder may occur during the parturition.

The fertility rates of older ewes were reduced as the significance of the decrement, the oocyte qualities (Arranz et al., 2008; Fukui et al., 2010; Palacin et al., 2012). According to Esmailizadeh et al. (2009) report when the age of ewes increases from 2 to 7 years, the fertility rate of ewes declined from 29 to 5%. It was similar in this study when the age of ewe increases from 1 to 4 years, the pregnancy and prolificacy rates decreased by 18.75 and 6.25%, respectively. This indicates that the young ewes have relatively good fertility as compared to older ewes. Besides, a decrease in fertility rate due to increment in age might be described in the fact that older ewes have expanded risks of the reproductive issue and diminished ovulation rates contrasted with younger ewes.

The investigation from Spain on the Churra dairy type sheep breed by Anel et al. (2005) depicted that while after 1.5 years old, the prolificacy rate decreased by 1.74% every year for cervical AI. The most important result of fertility rate declined with age were described in the Lacaune breed by Colas et al. (1973), who revealed a reduced fertility of 15% per year. In this study the pregnancy and prolificacy rates decreased by 18.75% and 6.25% respectively, when the age of the ewe’s increases. However, as indicated by Anel et al. (2006) younger ewes show decreased fertility because of their consideration with more seasoned ewes that normally lamb prior. Furthermore, nutritious deficiencies are regularly seen in younger ewes during the reproducing time frame because of their more necessity for development and body maintenance.

The author Alabart et al. (2002) illustrated that the most extreme fertility (56.7%) was detected at 3 years old ewes matured from 2 to 5 years that means the fertility rate value above 50%. Similarly, Colas et al. (1973) described that the fertility rate decline when ewes inseminated over 3.5 years old, and Gahina and Folch (1987), described that the fertility of ewes strongly falls, ewes inseminated at 4 and more years’ age. Fertility decreases because of the number of previous parturition (lambing). Moreover, in different investigation, the better fertility was obtained at 2 years old age with a progressive fall afterward (Fantova, et al., 1998). In the case of this study also at 2 years old ewes the fertility rate was not pregnant, due to small number of ewes inseminated, the correlation between the numbers of ewes inseminated to lambed is positive.

### Pregnancy and prolificacy rates based on semen from two rams

The pregnancy and prolificacy rates of semen from ram A was 33.33 % and 44.44 %, respectively (Table 3). In case of, semen from ram B, pregnancy and prolificacy rate was 30 and 40%, respectively (Table 3). There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between semen from ram A and ram B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of inseminated ewes</th>
<th>Number of pregnant</th>
<th>Pregnancy rate (%)</th>
<th>Number of lambed</th>
<th>Number of lambs born</th>
<th>Prolificacy rate (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pregnant rate: number pregnant/ number of inseminated X100%, prolificacy rate: number of lambs born /number of inseminated X100%

Several authors described that ram has an effect on the pregnancy rate in sheep production from one to another. The same quality ram semen produced from different rams and handled under the same conditions could show significant variations in the fertility rate. Therefore, fertility variations have been described in ewes cervical inseminated with fresh semen (Paulenz et al., 2002; Anel et al., 2005) and using frozen-thawed semen (Olesen, 1993; Eppleston et al., 1995; Paulenz et al., 2007). The reasons why these variations are undefined between each ram are, some seminal parameters, such as motility and the number of spermatozoa per portion or dose (Paulenz et al., 2002). Selection or determination of exceptionally highly fertile ram may improve the results of insemination programs and accelerate the spreading of genetic improvement in breeding programs. In this study we used frozen-thawed semen from two rams of the same Lacaune breed and there was no significant difference in the pregnancy and the prolificacy rates, which means that, the pregnancy rate was 33.33% and 30 % for ram A and B respectively. In the case of prolificacy rate, it
was 44.44% and 40% for ram A and B respectively. Therefore, the significant difference between them (p > 0.05). Furthermore, this result is supported by (Salamon and Maxwell, 1995) who established that ram semen fertility difference could be due to genetic of the ram. In addition to the strict selection of the ejaculates, the semen from each ram should be evaluated independently for successful LAI (Windsor, 1997; Wulster et al., 2002).

The ram semen is very sensitive to freezing and cold shock, however, insemination using frozen-thawed semen deeply laparoscopically results are favorable, even though it needs minimal surgery, cost and well-trained persons (Anel et al., 2005). The reproductive achievement of ewes after insemination can be affected by several factors, including the husbandry practice of ewes, age of ewes, season, the synchronization protocol and the technique of insemination (Anel et al., 2005, David et al., 2008, Fukui et al., 2010). The insemination technique itself, including semen quality assessment, semen preservation and determination of the optimal sperm number per insemination dose, is also important for optimum ewe’s fertility (Anel et al., 2005). In this study the insemination technique was performed by highly experienced inseminator using a 0.5 ml dose per ewe containing 100 million spermatozoa cells in both ram semen.

CONCLUSIONS

The anatomical barriers of the ewe’s reproductive tract and the frozen-thawed semen of rams is limiting factors in spreading superior genetic values in the sheep production. AI technique is preferable in sheep breeding programs but still the pregnancy rate of the ewes is not achieved to 100%. Even if the procedure requires surgical skill person and costly equipment, the deposition of semen at the horn of ewe’s uterus, results in acceptable pregnancy and prolificacy rates as compared to other insemination methods. In order to overcome these problems, the laparoscopic AI technique is one of the recent technologies to solve the limitations of AI in sheep production with high quality ram semen’s.

In conclusion, age of ewes, semen deposition site and different ram semen were the factors affecting pregnancy and prolificacy rates after LAI on Lacuana sheep. From the results above, it can be concluded that the ram semen has no effect on pregnancy and prolificacy rates. The overall pregnancy and prolificacy rates were below 50%, which was unfavorable as compared to international data because the study was field trial. Therefore, based on the conclusion above, it can be recommended as hence, the research could be repeated with a higher number of ewes to give more accurate and significant results and evaluation of ram semen, appropriate selection of ewes based on age and deposition of semen, could lead to better and more constant results, contributing to the spread of LAI application in Lacuana sheep intensive flocks in larger scale production. Furthermore, laparoscopic AI will allow us to obtain protocols suitable for each factors, promoting the dissemination of superior genetic material at a reduced cost for sheep smallholders.
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