

Incompatibility studies of Hungarian sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) cultivars by traditional test crossings

Békefi Zs.

Research Institute for Fruitgrowing and Ornamentals, H-1223 Budapest, Park u. 2., Hungary

Summary: Cross-incompatibility is a common phenomenon between various sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) cultivars. Traditionally, choosing cross-compatible cultivar pairs is based on test crossings in the field.

There is a lack of information about fertility relations of novel Hungarian sweet cherry cultivars and selections. We have studied cross-incompatibility in 42 sweet cherry cultivar pairs by test-crossings in the field. Out of those, 3 combinations showed incompatibility and 15 pairs were compatible.

Test-crossing results proved that with the knowledge of *S*-allele constitution of Hungarian cultivars incompatible cultivar pairs are recognised in practice reliably. However, we assume that in sterility not only the *S*-gene system, but other factors (e.g. abnormal development of pollen or flower) also occur, therefore, their examination would be needed.

Key words: incompatibility, sweet cherry, cross-pollination

Introduction

Most cultivars of sweet cherry are self-incompatible and various pairs of cultivars are cross-incompatible. Traditional test-crosses in the field are valid and a well-known method for determining cross-compatible cultivar combinations, which are important to sweet cherry growers and breeders. Since the beginning of 20th century incompatibility studies have been carried out in sweet cherry throughout the world (Gardener, 1914; Kobel & Steinegger, 1933). Incompatibility in sweet cherries is attributed to the multiallelic locus *S* (Crane & Lawrence, 1929). Cultivars bearing the same combination of *S*-alleles form an incompatibility group and are mutually cross-incompatible but compatible with all other cultivars belonging to other groups. In 1969 Matthews & Dow published their classic work describing the *S*-alleles responsible for compatibility of 160 cultivars on the basis of test-crosses. Naturally, breeders were interested in clarifying compatibility relationships among cultivars important in their own country. Stösser (1966) determined compatible and incompatible combinations among local German cultivars. De Vries (1968) established fertility groups with different levels of fruit sets and so described cross-incompatibility.

Schmidt & Timmann (1997) determined the *S*-genotypes of the cultivars originated from the research institute at Jork by test crosses and pollen tube growth studies, which was completed by Stehr (2000). Godini et al. (1998) compared the level of self- and cross-compatibility of some sweet cherry cultivars. Wolfram (1999) determined the level of self-fertility of some German sweet- and sour cherry hybrids.

In Hungary, Maliga (1952) tested the cross-compatibility of 'Badacsonyi óriás' and 'Germersdorfi óriás' with other Hungarian cultivars and determined two inter-incompatible cultivar pairs. Likewise, Brózik (1962) carried out compatibility studies in 'Germersdorfi óriás' and determined suitable pollinators. Brózik & Nyéki (1975) specified groups of Hungarian cultivars that are not only cross-compatible but bloom simultaneously. These authors later determined some incompatibility groups of Hungarian cultivars (Brózik & Nyéki 1980). Nyéki (1989) classified various Hungarian and foreign cultivars into incompatibility groups by means of field crosses, work completed by Nyéki and Szabó (1995). Apostolné (1994) clarified compatibility relationships of several new Hungarian cultivars. Szabó et al. (2002) summarised the knowledge about Hungarian sweet cherry compatibility.

Beside traditional methods, molecular techniques appeared that are useful in determining the *S*-alleles responsible for incompatibility in sweet cherry. These methods developed by Bošković & Tobut (1996) are generally used in several countries of the world. In this way the *S*-alleles of Hungarian cultivars have been identified. (Békefi et al., 2003).

The aim of this work was to specify cross-compatible and incompatible cultivar combinations important to Hungary by test crosses in the field. Furthermore, we were concerned whether field test crossing results correspond to *S*-allele genotypes obtained by molecular technique (Békefi et al., 2003) and the knowledge of *S*-alleles make choosing cross-compatible cultivar pairs easier in practice.

Material and method

Artificial test-crosses were carried out in the experimental field of the Research Institute for Fruitgrowing and Ornamentals at Érd.

Flower buds in balloon stage were isolated with parchment bags on branches facing to the four cardinal points at the height of 1–2 meters. Depending on the number of trees available we isolated flowers on 1–5 trees per maternal cultivar. In each cultivar combination, approx. 100 flowers were isolated (2–7 bags). Open flowers were removed beforehand.

For obtaining pollen at anthesis we collected flower buds in their balloon stage. In some cases – as there were considerable differences in the blooming time of partners – branches with closed white buds were collected and put into water at room temperature, overnight. Next day anthers from flowers in balloon stage were obtained and dried for 24 hours at room temperature protected against direct light. Next day, when the anthers opened we helped releasing the pollen grains with a glass pestle. The pollen was kept in +4 C° until use.

When the flowers opened in the bags and the pistils were ready to receive the pollen the artificial pollination took place. Open flowers were removed from the bag. Pollen grains were transferred to the stigma surface with the aid of wooden toothpicks. The pollinated flowers were counted and isolated again. Parchment bags were removed after petal fall. Fruit set was recorded at ripening time of each cultivar.

In each isolator bag and combination, percentage of fruit set was calculated. Data of 2–5 years were summed up for each combination.

Researchers have different opinion about the percentage of fruit set as a limit of cross-incompatibility. *Tehrani & Lay* (1991) regarded a combination compatible if fruit set exceeds 3%. In our evaluation we used the system below, developed by *Nyéki & Szabó* (1995) that can also be used in other stone fruit species:

	fruit set
incompatible combination:	0–1%
partially incompatible combination:	1.1–5%
compatible combination:	> 5%

Results

a) Determining cross-incompatible cultivar combinations by field test crosses

Fruit set data are shown in *Table 1*. A combination was regarded incompatible when its fruit set did not exceed 5% in any of the years studied. Consequently, the following combinations showed incompatibility:

IV-13/20 ('Aida') x IV-6/12 ('Sándor')
 'Vera' x 'IV-6/240'
 'Germersdorfi 3' x 'Alex'

'Germersdorfi 3' x 'Linda'
 'Kavics' x 'Linda'
 'Kavics' x 'Alex'
 'Kavics' x III-42/114 ('Carmen')
 'Linda' x 'Germersdorfi 3'
 'Margit' x IV-6/39 ('Pál')
 'Margit' x 'Katalin'

In 'Germersdorfi 3' x 'Alex' combination, fruit set was lower than 5%, in four years, however, in 2002 it was considerably high (34.6%). This high deviation is attributed to experimental error.

In some cases, the lack of fertilisation can not be attributed to the *S*-genes. For instance, in combinations, where 'Margit' or 'Kavics' appear as mother parents low fruit set may mean not only incompatibility, since these cultivars have poor results also in other combinations (*Table 1*). According to our observations, 'Margit' and 'Kavics' have low fruit set regularly, after open pollination even at various growing sites.

According to the literature, all self-fertile cultivars are universal pollen donors, they form compatible combinations with all other cultivars (*Thompson, 1996*). Pollen grains bearing self-fertility *S*-allele lost their activity in the incompatibility reactions therefore their pollen tube can reach the ovary without any difficulties. In cultivar combinations above IV-6/12 ('Sándor'), 'Alex' and IV-6/39 ('Pál') are proved to be self-fertile (*Brózik & Apostol, 2000*). Thus in those combinations, where they are the polliniser, poor fruit set can not be attributed to *S*-alleles.

In the above situations, the factors responsible for poor fruit set should be found elsewhere (weather conditions, morphological sterility, pollen quality, abnormal embryo development, etc.). Thus, undoubtedly cross-incompatible combinations are:

'Vera' x 'IV-6/240'
 'Linda' x 'Germersdorfi 3'
 'Germersdorfi 3' x 'Linda'

Among 42 combinations, 15 produced higher fruit set than 5% in the years tested, therefore we considered them compatible (*Table 1*). The highest fruit set was 78.3%, when 'Rita' was pollinated with 'IV-6/66' in 2000.

It was not always possible to ascertain compatibility relationships in some combinations as high variance among years was observed. In those cases the mother parent was often 'Margit' or 'Kavics', which have low yielding ability, generally.

b) Applicability of *S*-genotypes of Hungarian sweet cherry cultivars in practice

The *S*-genotypes of sweet cherry cultivars and selections important to Hungary have been determined recently (*Békefi et al., 2003*). In 2003, we carried out artificial pollination experiments in order to test their *S*-alleles in practice.

Table 1 Fruit set (%) of sweet cherry cultivars after cross-pollination (Érd-Elvira, 1997–2003) (combinations in *italics* are incompatible. **bold**: compatible)

Female	Year	Male												
		IV-6/12 (Sándor)	IV-6/240	IV-6/39 (Pál)	IV-6/66	IV-13/20 (Aida)	Katalin	Linda	Sunburst	Van	Alex	Stella	III-42/114 (Carmen)	Germersdorfi 3
IV-13/20 (Aida)	2000	3.3	17.0	24.2	24.0									
	2001	3.3	8.9	4.0	1.3									
	2003				34.3									
Vera	1997	58.6												
	2000	24.2	0.0		78.3									
	2001	7.9	1.0		8.6									
	2003		0.0											
IV-5/62 (Rita)	2000	12.9	33.6		24.8									
	2001	17.5	15.8		17.8	29.7								
	2003	29.8	36.2		49.4	33.0								
III-42/114 (Carmen)	2000			9.0							2.8	9.1		
	2001			7.8			31.2	0.0	7.9	18.3	7.3	0.0		
	2002			23.1							13.4			
	2003			21.5			37.6	35.2	41.9	37.4	6.7	19.3		
Germersdorfi 3	1997											40.9		
	1998					12.5	23.3	0.0	7.7		3.4		3.6	
	1999					1.8			0.8		4.6	21.4	16.2	
	2000										0.8			
	2001										1.4			
	2002										34.6			
	2003						15.0	0.0					9.8	
Katalin	1998								21.7					
	1999								3.2					
	2003		29.6											
Kavics	1998					2.1	0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0	
	1999					1.3				0.0	0.5	2.4	0.7	
	2001			4.0		7.7	15.7	0.8	9.7	6.6	2.5			
	2002			5.3		0.0	9.8	0.6	2.6	7.1	4.7			
Linda	1998									1.3			16.5	2.9
	1999									6.3			35.0	4.1
	2003													
Margit	1999						0.0				12.8	4.1		
	2000				5.0						9.7	5.3		
	2001				4.8						6.6	1.0		
	2002				2.7						3.5			
	2003						0.0							

Cultivars with known *S*-genotypes were chosen among which compatible, partially incompatible and incompatible combinations were formed. Crosses were made in both directions (Table 2.).

In 2003, weather conditions were favourable for outdoor crossings, the temperature was at an optimum during the cherry flowering season. Generally, in presumably compatible combinations, fruit set was far higher than 5%. In spite of ideal weather conditions and a very productive year in most cases presumably incompatible combinations produced 0% or not higher than 5% fruit set.

'Linda' x 'Germersdorfi 3' had 4.1% fruit set and we also got fruit when 'Hedelfingeni óriás' was pollinated with

'Botond' and vice versa that was not expected from the *S*-genotypes. These phenomena are attributed to parthenocarp.

In presumably partially incompatible combinations, fruit set was higher than 1% and even 5%.

Discussion

There are only few data available about the fertilisation of novel Hungarian cultivars, some of them were tested by Apostolné (1994). However, till now information about cross-incompatibility of the latest selections and cultivars were not at the disposal of growers, up to now.

According to our results referring to fruit set and *S*-alleles, the following cultivar combinations are incompatible:

'Katalin' x 'Margit'
'Germersdorfi 3' x 'Linda'
'Margit' x 'Katalin'
'Linda' x 'Germersdorfi 3'
'Van' x 'IV-6/240'
'Vera' x 'Van'
'IV-6/240' x 'Van'

'Van' x 'Vera'
'Botond' x 'Hedelfingeni óriás'
'Vera' x 'IV-6/240'
'Hedelfingeni óriás' x 'Botond'
'IV-6/240' x 'Vera'

Apostolné (1994) regarded 'Kavics' as a good polliniser for 'Hedelfingeni óriás'. Although we did not perform test crosses, as they both belong to the same incompatibility group III. (*Békefi et al.*, 2003) they must be cross-incompatible with each other.

When pollinating 'Germersdorfi 3' with 'Katalin' or 'Stella', we had good fruit set, similarly to the results of *Apostolné* (1994). She considered 'Kavics' x 'Linda' and 'Margit' x 'Stella' compatible, however, in these combinations we got poor fruit set. Thus further test crosses would be required.

On the basis of results, it was not possible to distinguish compatible and partially incompatible combinations that were presumed from *S*-genotypes.

Results of test crosses correlate with the *S*-allele scores obtained by molecular techniques. Thus it was proved that genotyping sweet cherry cultivars on (in)compatibility is of practical importance. *Schmidt et al.* (1999) states that after determining *S*-alleles with molecular technique there is no need of test crosses. Indeed, knowing the *S*-alleles, incompatible combinations are identified without test-crosses. However, in compatible and partially incompatible combinations, field crosses are required and examination of other factors (pollen quality and quantity, flower morphology, etc.) is needed as in certain cultivars they may affect fruit set (probably e.g. in cultivars 'Margit' and 'Kavics').

References

- Apostolné* (1994): Cseresznyefajták és -hibridek értékelése. Thesis. MTA, Budapest
- Békefi Zs., Tobutt, K. R. & Sonneveld, T.* (2003): Determination of (in)compatibility genotypes of Hungarian sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) accessions by PCR based methods. Horticultural Science 9 (3-4): 37-42.
- Bošković, R. & Tobutt, K. R.* (1996): Correlation of stylar ribonuclease zymograms with incompatibility alleles in sweet cherry. Euphytica 90: 245-250.
- Brózik S.* (1962): A Germersdorfi óriás cseresznyefajta termékenyülési viszonyai. Kísérletügyi Közlemények 2: 111-144.

Table 2 Results of intentional field test crosses for controlling practical function of *S*-alleles of sweet cherry cultivars (*Érd-Elvira*, 2003)

Presumably compatible combinations:				
female	<i>S</i> -genotype	male	<i>S</i> -genotype	fruit set (%)
IV-5/62 (Rita)	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> _x	Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	17.5
Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	IV-5/62 (Rita)	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> _x	66.1
IV-5/62 (Rita)	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> _x	IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	36.2
IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	IV-5/62 (Rita)	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> _x	35.3
Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	60.9
Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	27.5
Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	58.5
IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	37.3
Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	30.7
Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	63.2
III-42/114 (Carmen)	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₅	Germersdorfi 3	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	37.9
Germersdorfi 3	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	III-42/114 (Carmen)	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₅	9.8
III-42/114 (Carmen)	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₅	Linda	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	35.2
Linda	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	III-42/114 (Carmen)	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₅	35.0
Botond	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	32.7
Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	Botond	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	52.7
Hédelfingeni óriás	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	9.2
Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	Hédelfingeni óriás	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	64.6
Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	Margit	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	54.4
Margit	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	4.0
Presumably incompatible combinations:				
female	<i>S</i> -genotype	male	<i>S</i> -genotype	fruit set (%)
Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Margit	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	0
Margit	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	0
Van	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	0
IV6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	Van	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	0
Botond	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	Hédelfingeni óriás	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	1.6
Hedelfingeni óriás	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	Botond	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₄	2.6
Germersdorfi 3	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Linda	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	0
Linda	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Germersdorfi 3	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	4.1
Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	Van	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	0
Van	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	0
Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	0
IV-6/240	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	Vera	<i>S</i> ₁ <i>S</i> ₃	0
Presumably partially incompatible combinations:				
female	<i>S</i> -genotype	male	<i>S</i> -genotype	fruit set (%)
Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Germersdorfi 3	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	48.6
Germersdorfi 3	<i>S</i> ₃ <i>S</i> ₁₂	Katalin	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₁₂	15.0
III-42/114 (Carmen)	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₅	Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	24.0
Krupnoplodnaja	<i>S</i> ₅ <i>S</i> ₉	III-42/114 (Carmen)	<i>S</i> ₄ <i>S</i> ₅	37.4

- Brózik S. & Nyéki J. (1975):** A cseresznye és a meggy termékenyülési viszonyai. In: **Brózik S. & Nyéki J. (eds.):** Gyümölcstermő növények termékenyülése. Mezőgazdasági Kiadó, Budapest, 136–145.
- Brózik S. & Nyéki J. (1980):** A cseresznye. In: Nyéki J. (ed.): Gyümölcsfajták virágzásbiológiája és termékenyülése. Mezőgazdasági Kiadó, Budapest, 195–204.
- Brózik S. & Apostol J. (2000):** Cseresznye. In: **Brózik S. and Kállay T-né (eds.):** Csonthéjas gyümölcsfajták. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest, 13–45.
- Crane, M. B. & Lawrence, W. J. C. (1929):** Genetical and cytological aspects of incompatibility and sterility in cultivated fruits. *Journal of Pomology and Horticultural Science* 7: 276–301.
- De Vries, D. P. (1968):** Compatibility of cherries in the Netherlands. *Euphytica* 17: 207–215.
- Gardener, V. R. (1914):** A preliminary report on the pollination of the sweet cherry. Oregon Agricultural College Press, Corvallis, Oregon, USA. p37.
- Godini, A., Palasciano, M., Cozzi, G. & Petrucci, G. (1998):** Role of self-pollination and horticultural importance of self-compatibility in cherry. *Acta Horticulturae* 468: 567–571.
- Kobel, F. P. & Steinegger, P. (1933):** Landwirtschaftliches Jahrbuch der Schweiz 47: 973–1018.
- Maliga P. (1952):** Badacsonyi és Germersdorfi cseresznye termékenyülési adatai. *Agrár Egy. Kert. és Szőlőgazdasági Kar Évkönyve* 27–49.
- Matthews, P. & Dow, K. P. (1969):** Incompatibility groups: sweet cherry (*Prunus avium*). In: Knight, R. L. (ed.): *Abstract Bibliography of Fruit Breeding & Genetics to 1965*. Prunus. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, 540–544.
- Nyéki J. (1989):** Csonthéjas gyümölcsűek virágzása és termékenyülése. Thesis. MTA Budapest.
- Nyéki J. & Szabó Z. (1995):** Cross-incompatibility in stone fruits. *Horticultural Science* 28: 23–31.
- Schmidt, H. & Timmann, E-M. (1997):** On the genetics of incompatibility in sweet cherries I. Identification of S alleles in self incompatible cultivars. *Gartenbauwissenschaft* 62: 102–105.
- Schmidt, H., Wolfram, B. & Boškovic, R. (1999):** Befruchtungsverhältnisse bei Süßkirschen. *Erwerbsobstbau* 41: 42–45.
- Stehr, R. (2000):** Befruchtungsversuche zu Süßkirschen. *Mitt. OVR* 55: 5–13.
- Stösser, R. (1966):** Befruchtungsbiologische und embriologische Untersuchungen bei der Süßkirsche. Thesis. Institut für Obstbau und Gemüsebau der Landwirtschaftlichen Hochschule Hohenheim.
- Szabó Z., Nyéki J. & Soltész M. (2002):** Cseresznye. In: Nyéki J., Soltész M. & Szabó Z. (eds.): *Fajtatársítás a gyümölcsültetvényekben*. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest, 177–192.
- Tehrani, G. & Lay, W. (1991):** Verification through pollen incompatibility studies of pedigrees of sweet cherry cultivars from Vineland. *HortScience* 26: 190–191.
- Thompson, M. (1996):** Flowering, pollination and fruit set. In: Webster, A. D. & Looney, N. E. (eds.): *Cherries: Crop physiology, production and uses*. CAB International. 223–241.
- Wolfram, B. (1999):** Self-fertility as a factor of yield potential in progenies of sweet and sour cherries. *Acta Horticulturae* 484: 291–296.