Reaction of different Capsicum genotypes to four viruses

Kazinczi, G.1, Kovács, J.2, Takács, A.P.1, Horváth, J.2 and Gáborjányi, R.2

¹Office for Academy Research Groups Attached to Universities and Other Institutions, Virological Group, University of Veszprém, Georgikon Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, H-8361 Keszthely, P.O.Box 71, Hungary

²University of Veszprém, Georgikon Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, H-8361 Keszthely, P.O.Box 71, Hungary

Summary: The objective of this study was to examine the reaction of 44 Capsicum genotypes to common strain of Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV-C/U₁), Obuda pepper virus (ObPV), NTN strain of Potato virus Y (PVY^{NTN}) and legume strain of Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV-U/246). Reaction (extreme resistance, hypersensitive reaction, latent susceptibility, susceptibility) of the tested Capsicum species/hybrids and breeding lines seemed to be greatly depending on hosts and viruses. Out of the breeding materials 4/99 F_2 and IX-8 in to CMV-U/246, while 32.Bogyisz. type, VI-57 ii. 57/83 and V-12=19/98 to TMV-C/U₁ showed extreme resistance. Two lines (V-25 F_1 =32/98 F_1 and V-27 in F_4 =35/98 F_4) showed hypersensitive reaction to ObPV. Latent susceptibility to PVY^{NTN} was observed in case of all eleven tested Capsicum genotypes and in case of several lines to TMV-C/U₁, ObPV and CMV-U/246. Other breeding materials proved susceptible to the mentioned viruses. Pepper genotypes showing extreme resistance and hypersensitivity could be used for resistance breeding to viruses.

Key words: pepper genotypes, viruses, susceptibility, resistance

Introduction

Among pathogens, viruses are limiting factors in successful pepper growing (Edwardson & Christie, 1997, Gáborjányi et al., 1998a, b, Tiznado & Carrillo, 2002). The extremely stable, mechanically transmissible Tobamoviruses are found to be the major problems under glasshouse and tunnels, while the dominance of the aphid-borne Cucumo-, Poty- and Alfamoviruses were demonstrated in the open fields (Kiss, 1996, Gáborjányi et al., 1997, Kálmán et al., 2000). The breeding program against Tobamoviruses started with the introgression of L genes into commercial pepper varieties and today almost all pepper varieties containing L¹ gene are resistant to Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV). A new Tobamovirus: Obuda pepper virus (ObPV) [syn: Ob strain of Tomato mosaic virus (ToMV-Ob)] has appeared in the 1980's, breaking the resistance of pepper varieties, containing the L1 gene (Tóbiás et al. 1982, Csilléry et al. 1983). Only introgression of L³ gene ensured resistance to ObPV.

Response of different *Capsicum* species, varieties, hybrids and breeding lines to viruses has been intensively studied. Among them new sources of resistance have been found, which could be used for pepper growing and breeding for virus resistance (*Horváth*, 1983, 1986a, b, c, *Zatykó*, 1993, *Green & Kim*, 1994, *Fehér & Kristóf*, 1995, *Gáborjányi* et al., 1997, *Lane* et al., 1997, *Horváth* et al., 2000, *Reddick & Habera*, 2000, *Kazinczi* et al., 2001a).

The objective of this study was to examine the reaction of different Capsicum genotypes to four, economically

important viruses [common strain of *Tobacco mosaic virus* (TMV-C/U₁), ObPV, NTN strain of *Potato virus Y* (PVY^{NTN}) and legume strain of *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV-U/246)].

Material and method

Seeds of 44 Capsicum species, hybrids and breeding lines were sown in sterile boxes in vector free glasshouse. Pepper seedlings were planted in plastic pots (12 cm in diameter) containing a soil mixture of sand (pH 6.96, humus 0.27%): peat (pH 6.78, humus 9.98%) in a ratio of 1:3. Thirteen (11/94, 32.Bogyisz. type, VI-47-2 ii., VI-13 in 13/96 361/380, VI-25, VI-57 ii. 57/83, V-12=19/98, 420, 421, 424, 425, Pelso, VI-46 ii), twelve (1/96 F1 C. chinense x Botond, V-7=14/98 F₂, XII-V-33 ii, V-33, V-11 in=18/98 F_4 , V-25 F_1 =32/98 F_1 , V-25 ii F_4 =32/98 F_4 , V-27 in F₄=35/98 F₄, V-23-1 ii. Lamuyo 30/98 F₄, 7/01 F₁=Gypsi x 507, 8/01 F₁=507 x 509 F₁, VI-1 (99) ii=302 Gypsi), eleven (Capsicum baccatum, C. baccatum var. pendulum, 1/96 F₁ C. chinense x 'Botond', 1/96/a C. chinense x 'Rezisztens Keszthelyi', 5/96 C. testiculatum x 'Botond' F2, 40/85 F6 Csípős fehér, 416 Santa Fe Grande Chile 97 L3, 422 14/94 1997 L¹, 423 20/94 1997 L¹, 418 Édes Cseresznye USA, 419 Fűszer USA 1997) and 8 Capsicum genotypes (4/99 F2, IX-8 in, IX-10 ii., 5/99 F₂, 9/99 F₂, IX-9 in, V-33, 15/99 F₂) were mechanically inoculated with TMV-C/U1, ObPV, PVYNTN and CMV-U/246, respectively. Seven plants at 6-8 leaf stages of each breeding material were used for inoculation. Sörensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) in the ratio of 1:1 was used for inoculation. The inoculated plants were symptomatologically tested for infection. Five weeks after inoculation the infected plants were tested using direct double-antibody sandwich ELISA (DAS ELISA) method (Clark & Adams, 1977). Substrate absorbance (extinction values) were measured twenty minutes after adding the substrate at 405 nm wavelength on Labsystems Multiscan RC ELISA Reader. Test samples were considered susceptible to viruses if their extinction values exceeded three times those of the healthy (negative) control ones. In order to confirm the results of symptomatology and serology back inoculation was also carried out to Nicotiana tabacum 'Xanthi-nc' and N. tabacum 'Samsun' as indicator plants.

Results and discussion

Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to TMV-C/U1

Three Capsicum breeding lines (32.Bogyisz. type, VI-57 ii. 57/83 and V-12=19/98) proved immune (extremely resistant) to TMV-C/U₁. Neither local nor systemic symptoms could be seen on infected plants, extinction values were not three times higher, than those of the negative (healthy) control ones during ELISA serological tests, and back inoculation to N. tabacum 'Xanthi-ne' and N. tabacum 'Samsun' plants was also unsuccesful. Latent susceptibility to TMV-C/U₁ without symptoms and with low extinction values was observed in 421 and 424 Capsicum lines, while the other remaining eight lines proved susceptible to virus infection (Table 1).

Table I Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to common strain of Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV-C/U₁)

Capsicum genotypes	Symptoms*	Extinction values		Types of reactions**
11/94	NI/Mo	0.864 (+)	+	S
32.Bogyisz. type	-/-	0.407 (-)	-	E
VI-47-2 ii.	Nl/Mo, Led, Bli	0.651 (+)	+	S
VI-13 in 13/96 361/380	NI/Bli, Mo	0.835 (+)	+	S
VI-25	NI/Mo, Bli, Led	0.656 (+)	+	S
VI-57 ii. 57/83	-/-	0.214 (-)	-	Е
V-12=19/98	-/-	0.223 (-)	_	E
420	NI/Mo	0.680 (+)	+	S
421	-/-	0.569 (-)	+	LS
424	-/-	0.564 (-)	+	LS
425	NI/Mo, Led	0.723 (+)	+	S
Pelso	NI/Mo, Led, Bli	0.701 (+)	+	S
VI-46 ii	NI/Mo, Led, Bli	0.664 (+)	+	S
Positive control		0.949		
Negative control		0.214		

Local/systemic symptoms; –, symptomless; Nl, necrotic lesions;
 Mo, mosaic; Led, leaf deformation; Bli, blistering

Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to ObPV

No breeding materials showing extreme resistance (immunity) to ObPV have been found. Two lines (V-25 $F_1 = 32/98$ F_1 and V-27 in $F_4 = 35/98$ F_4) showed hypersensitive reaction. Necrotic lesions developed on the infected pepper leaves 2-4 days after inoculation and later, the infected leaves dropped, preventing the spread of the virus in the whole plant. Systemic latent susceptibility was observed in three lines (7/01 F₁=Gypsi x 507, 8/01 F₁=507 x 509 F₁, VI-1 (99) ii=302 Gypsi), where - similar to hypersensitive reaction - only local symptoms have been observed due to ObPV infection. Serological tests and back inoculation proved that the symptomless leaves of these genotypes contained ObPV. Other Capsicum genotypes tested were susceptible to ObPV. Both local and systemic symptoms developed and susceptibility was confirmed by the positive results of ELISA tests and back inoculation (*Table 2*).

Table 2 Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to Obuda pepper virus (ObPV)

Capsicum genotypes	Symptoms*	Extinction values	Back inoculation	Types of reactions**
1/96 F ₁ C. chinense x Botond	NI/Mo	1.055 (+)	+	S
V-7=14/98 F ₂	NI/Mo, Tn	0.425 (+)	+	S
XII-V-33 ii	NI/Mo	0.417 (+)	+	S
V-33	NI/Mo	0.571 (+)	+	S
V-11 in=18/98 F ₄	NI/Mo	0.534 (+)	+	S
V-25 F ₁ =32/98 F ₁	NI/-	0.177 (-)	-	HR
V-25 ii F ₄ =32/98 F ₄	NI/Mo	1.646 (+)	+	S
V-27 in $F_A = 35/98 F_A$	N1/-	0.154 (-)	_	HR
V-23-1 ii. Lamuyo 30/98 F ₄	NI/Mo	1.545 (+)	+	S
7/01 F ₁ =Gypsi x 507	NI/-	0.427 (+)	+	LS
8/01 F ₁ =507 x 509 F ₁	N1/-	0.603 (+)	+	LS
VI-1 (99) ii=302 Gypsi	N1/-	0.441 (+)	+	LS
Positive control		1.101		
Negative control		0.135		

Local/systemic symptoms; –, symptomless; NI, necrotic lesions;
 Mo, mosaic; Tn, top necrosis

Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to PVYNTN

Latent susceptibility to PVY^{NTN} was observed in case of all eleven tested *Capsicum* genotypes. Symptoms could not been observed but serological tests and back inoculations were successful. Our results confirmed the fact, that the affinity of the different *Capsicum* genotypes to PVY^{NTN} was not so strong in comparison with *Solanum* varieties, species and accessions (*Kazinczi* et al., 2001b) (*Table 3*).

Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to CMV-U/246

Two pepper lines (4/99 F₂ and IX-8 in) to CMV-U/246 showed extreme resistance (immunity). Neither local nor systemic symptoms occurred on infected plants, extinction

^{**} E, extreme resistance; LS, latent susceptibility; S, susceptibility

^{**} HR, hypersensitive reaction; LS, latent susceptibility; S, susceptibility

Table 3 Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to NTN strain of Potato virus Y (PVYNTN)

Capsicum genotypes	Symptoms*	Extinction values	Back inoculation	Types of reactions**
Capsicum baccatum	-/-	1.723 (+)	+	LS
C. baccatum var. pendulum	-/-	0,779 (+)	+	LS
1/96 F ₁ C. chinense x 'Botond'	-/-	1.411 (+)	+	LS
1/96/a C. chinense x 'Rezisztens Keszthelyi'	-/-	1.139 (+)	+	LS
5/96 C. testiculatum x 'Botond' F ₂	-/-	0.972 (+)	+	LS
40/85 F ₆ Csípős fehér	-/-	1.207 (+)	+	LS
416 Santa Fe Grande Chile 97L ³	-/-	1.004 (+)	+	LS
422 14/94 1997 L ¹	-/-	0.772 (+)	+	LS
423 20/94 1997 L ¹	-/-	1.340 (+)	+	LS
418 Édes Cseresznye USA	-/-	0.931 (+)	+	LS
419 Fűszer USA 1997	-/-	0.468 (+)	+	LS
Positive control		1.432		
Negative control		0.138		

Local/systemic symptoms; –, symptomless

values were similar to those of the healthy (negative) control samples during serological tests. Back inoculation to indicator plants was unsuccessful. In four *Capsicum* genotypes (IX-10 ii, IX-9 in, 5/99 F₂, 9/99 F₂) latent susceptibility occurred. In two genotypes (IX-10 ii and 5/99 F₂) among them CMV-U/246 could not be detected in ELISA tests due to the low virus concentration. Symptoms on pepper leaves could not be observed, but systemic mosaic symptoms could be seen on *N. tabacum* leaves in back inoculation.

Two Capsicum lines (V-33 and 15/99 F2) showed systemic susceptibility to CMV-U/246 (Table 4).

Table 4 Reaction of Capsicum genotypes to legume strain of Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV-U/246)

Capsicum genotypes	Symptoms*	Extinction values	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Types of reactions**
4/99 F ₂	-/-	0.303 (-)		Е
IX-8 in	-/-	0.211 (-)	-	Е
IX-10 ii.	-/-	0.242 (-)	+	LS
5/99 F ₂	-/-	0.438 (-)	+	LS
9/99 F ₂	-/-	0.773 (+)	+	LS
IX-9 in	-/-	0.783 (+)	+	LS
V-33	-/Led, Bli	0.764 (+)	+	S
15/99 F ₂	-/Ye, Mo	0.849 (+)	+	S
Positive control		0.914		
Negative control		0.250		

Local/systemic symptoms; –, symptomless; Led, leaf deformation;
 Bli, blistering; Ye, yellowing; Mo, mosaic

Pepper genotypes, showing extreme resistance (immunity) or hypersensitive reaction could be used for resistance breeding. On the basis of our results two Capsicum genotypes (4/99 F_2 and IX-8 in) to CMV-U/246, and three genotypes (32.Bogyisz. type, VI-57 ii. 57/83 and V-12=19/98) to TMV-C/U₁ showed extreme resistance. Two ones (V-25 F_1 =32/98 F_1 and V-27 in F_4 =35/98 F_4) showed hypersensitive reaction to ObPV. Therefore these genotypes could be used for breeding of virus resistance. Considering that mixed infections always occur commonly under natural conditions, it could be worth-while to examine the reaction of Capsicum genotypes to other, economically important viruses.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Office for Academy Research Groups Attached to Universities and Other Institutions for their financial support.

References

Clark, M. F. & Adams, A. N. (1977): Characteristics of the microplate method of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of plant viruses. J. Gen. Virol. 34: 475–483.

Csilléry, G., Tóbiás, I. & Ruskó, G. (1983): A new pepper strain of tomato mosaic virus. Acta Phytopath. Hung. 18: 195–200.

Edwardson, J. R. & Christie, R. G. (1997): Viruses Infecting Peppers and Other Solanaceous Crops. University of Florida, Gainesville 770.

Fehér, A. & Kristóf, E. (1995): Hungarian pepper varieties in the last thirty years. IXth EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding on *Capsicum* and Eggplant. Budapest, 9–13.

Gáborjányi, R., Horváth, J., Kovács, J. & Kazinczi, G. (1998a): Role of viruses in pepper decline in Hungary. Xth EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding on *Capsicum* and Eggplant. Avignon (France) 129–132.

Gáborjányi, R., Horváth, J., Kovács, J. & Kazinczi, G. (1998b): Role of virus and phytoplasma infections in pepper decline in Hungary: An overview. Acta Phytopath. et Entomol. 33: 229–236. Gáborjányi, R., Pogány, M. & Horváth, J. (1997): A vírusok szerepe a paprika pusztulásban (Role of viruses in pepper decline). Növényvédelem 33: 181–185.

Green, S.K. & Kim, J.S. (1994): Sources of resistance to viruses of pepper (*Capsicum* spp.): a catalog. Technical Bulletin No. 20. Taipei 72 pp.

Horváth, J. (1983): Paprika (*Capsicum* L.) fajok és varietások vírusrezisztenciája: Irodalmi áttekintés (Virus resistance of pepper (*Capsicum* L.) species and varieties: Review). Kertgazdaság 15:

Horváth, J. (1986a): Compatible and incompatible relations between *Capsicum* species and viruses. I. Review. Acta Phytopath. Hung. 21: 35–50.

Horváth, J. (1986b): Compatible and incompatible relations between *Capsicum* species and viruses. II. New compatible host-virus relations (susceptible plants). Acta Phytopath. et Entomol. Hung. 21: 51–58.

Horváth, J. (1986c): Compatible and incompatible relations between Capsicum species and viruses. III. New incompatible host-

^{**} LS, latent susceptibility

^{**} E, extreme resistance; LS, latent susceptibility; S, susceptibility

virus relations (resistant and immune plants). Acta Phytopath. et Entomol. Hung. 21: 59-62.

Horváth, J., Kazinczi, G., Takács, A., Pribék, D., Bese, G., Gáborjányi, R. & Kadlicskó, S. (2000): Virus susceptibility and resistance of Hungarian pepper varieties. HortSci. 6: 68–73.

Kálmán, D., Kassai, T., Tornyai, T. & Gáborjányi, R. (2000): A paprika enyhe tarkulás vírus (pepper mild mottle *tobamovirus*, PMMoV): Új paprikapatogén kórokozó Magyarországon. (Pepper mild mottle *tobamovirus*: new pepper pathogen in Hungary). Növényvédelem 36: 613–618.

Kazinczi, G., Horváth, J. & Gáborjányi, R. (2001a): Some aspects of pepper virus research. Acta Phytopath. Entomol. Hung. 36: 329–347.

Kazinczi, G., Horváth, J., Kovács, J. & Takács, A. (2001b): Affinity of the different *Capsicum* genotypes to the resistance breaking strain of potato Y potyvirus. XIth EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding of *Capsicum* and eggplant. Antalya (Turkey) 261–264.

Kiss, E. (1996): A hajtatott paprika vírusbetegségei Dél-Magyarországon. (Viruses of forced pepper on the southern part of Hungary). Integrated Growing in Horticulture Budapest, 116–128. Lane, R.P., McCarter, S.M., Kuhn, C.W. & Deom, C.M. (1997): 'Dempsey', a virus- and bacterial spot-resistant bell pepper. HortSci. 32: 333–334.

Reddick, B.B. & Habera, L.F. (2000): New resistance to plant viruses in pepper. National Pepper Conference. Lafayette (USA) 27. Tiznado, G. & Carrillo, M. (2002): Past and present status of viruses affecting chili pepper in Mexico. 16th Internat. Pepper Conf. Tampico (México) 8.

Tóbiás, I., Rast, A.T. & Maat, D.Z. (1982): *Tobamovirus*es of pepper, eggplant and tobacco: comparative host reactions and serological relationships. Neth. J. Pl. Path. 88: 257–268.

Zatykó, L. (1993): Paprika. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest